

MINIMAL REPRESENTATIONS: SPHERICAL VECTORS AND AUTOMORPHIC FUNCTIONALS

D. KAZHDAN AND A. POLISHCHUK

ABSTRACT. In the first part of this paper we study minimal representations of simply connected simple split groups G of type D_k or E_k over local non-archimedean fields. Our main result is an explicit formula for the spherical vectors in these representations. In the case of groups over $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$, such a formula was obtained recently in [8]. We also use our techniques to study the structure of the space of smooth vectors in the minimal representation. In the second part we consider groups G as above defined over a global field K . In this situation we describe the form of the automorphic functional on the minimal representation of the corresponding adelic group.

INTRODUCTION

The study of minimal representations of simple groups of types D_k and E_k over local non-archimedean fields started with papers [7] and [9]. In the former paper such a representation was constructed explicitly for (not necessarily split) groups of type D_4 . In [9] an explicit realization of the minimal unitary representation $\pi : G(F) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R_2)$ in the split case was given, where F is arbitrary local non-archimedean field (in the archimedean case the construction is similar). On the other hand, minimal representations appear naturally in the theory of automorphic forms as residues of Eisenstein series (see [7], [5]). It would be desirable to connect the global theory with the explicit local realizations. To achieve this it is important to understand these local realizations better, namely, to understand the structure of the space $R \subset R_2$ of smooth vectors and to have explicit formulas for spherical vectors. In the case of real and complex groups such formulas were obtained in the work [8] that served as a motivation for us. In the present paper we compute spherical vectors in the non-archimedean case. The answer is given in Theorem 1.1.3 below.

In the case of the type D_4 our calculation uses a different realization of the minimal representation in terms of functions on a quadratic cone and the results of [2] that allow to identify the spherical vector in that realization. The intertwining operator between the two realizations is given by some kind of Fourier transform and we can easily calculate the image of the spherical vector (this method can be generalized to the type D_k with any $k \geq 4$). For all other types our method of computation is roughly as follows. First we analyze the action of some Hecke operators on Iwahori invariant vectors. Using the results of Savin in [13] and the calculations of Lusztig in [10] we obtain the form of the spherical vector with one unknown constant. Then we determine this constant by direct calculation involving the finite Fourier transform.

The work of both authors was partially supported by NSF grants.

In the non-archimedian case we also obtain some information on the structure of the space of smooth vectors R consisting of all vectors in R_2 with open stabilizer. Note that in the case of exceptional groups these results were obtained by Magaard and Savin in [11]. Recall that the minimal representation is realized in the space of L_2 -functions $f(y, x_0, \dots, x_n)$ where $x_0, \dots, x_n \in F$ are variables of the Schrödinger representation of certain Heisenberg group H contained in G (see section 1). This subgroup H appears as a unipotent radical of some maximal parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$. The space of smooth vectors R in this representation contains as a subspace the space of all locally constant functions with compact support contained in the set $y \neq 0$. To understand the quotient of R by this subspace we define

$$\bar{f}(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \psi\left(\frac{I_G(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{x_0 y}\right) f(y, x_0, x)$$

where I_G is certain cubic form that appears in the formulas for operators of G acting on R . We prove that for $x_0 \neq 0$ the limit defining $\bar{f}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ exists for all $f \in R$. Then we consider the variety C defined as the graph of the gradient of the rational function $I_G(x_1, \dots, x_n)/x_0$. It turns out that for all $f \in R$ the functions $\bar{f}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ extend to locally constant sections $\text{res}(f)$ of some line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over $C(F)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}$ is equipped with the natural action of the Levi subgroup $L(F) \subset P(F)$ and the obtained map is $L(F)$ -equivariant. We prove that all locally constant sections with compact support are obtained in this way. The final piece of R is the space of asymptotics of functions $\bar{f}$ near zero. We show that this space coincides with the Jacquet functor $J(R)$ of R , i.e., the space of $H(F)$ -coinvariants on R . It is known that $J(R)$ is the direct sum of a one-dimensional representation and of the space of smooth vectors in the minimal representation of $L(F)$ (twisted by certain character).

Some of these results have analogues in the case $F = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Namely, let us denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of $G(F)$. If $\pi : G(F) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R_2)$ is a unitary representation of G then the space of smooth vectors in it is defined to be the subspace $R \subset R_2$ consisting of vectors $f \in R_2$ which belong to the domain of the definition of $\pi(u)$ for all $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. It is well-known that R is dense in R_2 (see [4]). For every $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ we consider the norm on R given by $\|f\|_u := \|\pi(u)f\|$ and introduce the topology on R given by the system of norms $(\|\cdot\|_u)$. Then R is a complete locally convex topological space. Let $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$ be the ring of Schwartz functions on $G(F)$. We have an action $\pi : \mathcal{S}(G(F)) \otimes R \rightarrow R : (\varphi, r) \mapsto \varphi * r$ and π is surjective. Similar action can be defined for subgroups of G . We define Jacquet functor $J(R) = J_H(R)$ with respect to H as the quotient of R by the closure of the span of vectors of the form $\varphi * f - (\int_{H(F)} \varphi(h) dh) f$, where $f \in R$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(H(F))$. On the other hand, we call a linear functional f^* on R *tempered* (resp. *G' -tempered*, where $G' \subset G$ is a subgroup) if for every $r \in R$ the functional $\varphi \rightarrow f^*(\varphi * r)$ on $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}(G'(F))$) is continuous. The two definitions are compatible in the following way: if a functional f^* is H -tempered and $H(F)$ -invariant then f^* factors through $J(R)$. We refer to [7] for more details on the archimedian picture (which is still partially conjectural).

In the second part of the paper we use our formula for the spherical vectors to determine the form of an automorphic (i.e., $G(K)$ -invariant tempered) functional on the minimal representation of the adelic group $G(\mathbb{A})$, where K is a global field, $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_K$ is the ring of adeles for it. We prove that every $G(K)$ -invariant tempered functional on the adelic minimal representation is proportional to the functional of

the form

$$\theta(f) = \sum_{y \in K^*, x_0, \dots, x_n \in K} f(y, x_0, \dots, x_n) + |D|^{\frac{n+3}{2}} \cdot \sum_{z \in C(K)} \text{res}(f) + \alpha$$

where the second sum is defined using a natural trivialization of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over K -points of C , α is the functional that factors through the Jacquet functor $J(R)$, $|D|$ is the absolute value of the discriminant in the number field case, $|D| = q^{2g-2}$ in the functional field case, where g is the genus. We study in more details the case when G is of type E_k and K is the field of functions on a curve X of genus g over $\mathbb{F}_q$. In this case it is more convenient to work with the twisted realization of the minimal representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$, where all variables are (adelic) 1-forms on X . The line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ in this case is trivial but has a non-trivial L -equivariant structure given by some character of L . Because of this the second term of θ can be rewritten in terms of the function $\bar{f}^{\text{norm}}(x_0, \dots, x_n) = |x_0|^{s+1} \bar{f}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ that extends to a locally constant function on C . We prove that in this realization the $G(K)$ -invariant tempered functional takes form

$$\theta(f) = \sum_{y \in \omega_K \setminus \{0\}, (x_0, x) \in \omega_K^{n+1}} f(y, x_0, x) + q^{(2g-2)(s+1)} \cdot \sum_{z \in C(\omega_K)} \bar{f}^{\text{norm}}(z) + \alpha_1(f) + \alpha_2(f),$$

where $s = 1, 2, 4$ for $G = E_6, E_7, E_8$, ω_K is the set of rational 1-forms on our curve, the functional α_1 factors through the trivial component of the Jacquet functor of R , α_2 factors through the minimal representation of the Levi subgroup of P . The functionals α_1 and α_2 are uniquely determined by the constants $\alpha_1(f_0)$ and $\alpha_2(f_0)$, where f_0 is the product of spherical vectors over all places. We compute these constants in the cases $g = 0$ and $g = 1$. Basing on this computation we conjecture that the following relation holds:

$$\alpha_1(f_0) = q^{(2g-2)(s+1)} L(X, q^s),$$

where $L(X, t)$ is the L -function of the curve X . In a sequel to this paper we will show that there is a formula for $\alpha_1(f_0)$ as a product of local factors (defined by certain integrals). It seems that these factors are computable and in this way we plan to prove the above formula for $\alpha_1(f_0)$. This method should also provide a formula for $\alpha_1(f_0)$ in the number field case.

To complete our description of the automorphic functional on the minimal representation in the number field case, we need to know the Jacquet functor $J(R)$ of local minimal representations at archimedean places. We conjecture that the decomposition of this Jacquet functor into irreducible representations of the Levi subgroup has the same structure as in the non-archimedean case. D. Barbasch informed us that he checked this for the case of series D_k . In section 9 assuming the validity of this conjecture we show that for G of type E_k the $G(K)$ -invariant tempered functional can be written as the sum of 4 terms $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ as above. Hence, in this case the calculation of this functional again reduces to finding the constants $\alpha_1(f_0)$ and $\alpha_2(f_0)$.

The paper is organized as follows: sections 1-7 constitute part I devoted to local results, while sections 8 and 9 constitute part II describing the global picture. In section 1 we review the standard model for the local minimal representation and formulate our main local result: the formula for the spherical vector. In section 2 we prove this formula for $G = D_4$. In section 3 we study properties of the map $f \mapsto \bar{f}$ and describe the form of $\bar{f}$ for $L(\mathcal{O})$ -invariant vectors f . In section 4 we

use the action of Hecke algebra to derive the formula for the spherical vector with one unknown constant. In section 5 we find this constant by explicit computation involving the Fourier transform over the residue field. In section 6 we apply our formula for spherical vectors to obtain the description of the space of smooth vectors in local minimal representations. In section 7 we (partially) describe the archimedean picture. In section 8 we determine the general form of the automorphic functional on the adelic minimal representation. Finally, in section 9 we get more precise results about this functional in the case of $G = E_k$.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to D. Barbasch, A. Braverman and P. Etingof for useful discussions, and to B. Gross and J. Harris for their help with the proof of Lemma 9.3.3. Special thanks are to G. Savin for valuable remarks on the first draft of the paper. The second author would like to thank Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik and Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques for the hospitality during the final stages of the preparation of this paper.

Part I. Local results

1. FORMULA FOR THE SPHERICAL VECTOR

Let G be a simply connected simple split group of type D_k or E_k over a local non-archimedean field F . We denote by Φ the corresponding root system, by Φ^+ the set of positive roots, by Δ the set of simple roots and by ω the highest positive root. We fix a splitting of G (see [1]). It gives for every root α an isomorphism $t \mapsto e_\alpha(t)$ between $\mathbb{G}_a$ and the one-dimensional unipotent subgroup in G corresponding to α . We have

$$[e_\alpha(t_1), e_\beta(t_2)] = \begin{cases} e_{\alpha+\beta}(c(\alpha, \beta)t_1t_2), & \alpha + \beta \in \Phi, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $c(\alpha, \beta) = \pm 1$ is some sign (see e.g. [9], sec.1). The important properties of this sign function are:

$$\begin{aligned} c(\alpha, \beta) &= c(-\alpha, \beta), \\ c(\alpha, \beta)c(\beta, \alpha) &= (-1)^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}, \\ c(\alpha, \beta + \gamma) &= c(\alpha, \beta)c(\alpha, \gamma), \\ c(\alpha, \alpha) &= -1 \text{ for } \alpha \in \Phi. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by $\mathcal{O} \subset F$ the valuation ring in F . We choose an additive character $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ of order 0, i.e., such that $\psi(\mathcal{O}) = 1$ and $\psi(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{O}) \neq 1$, where $\pi \in F$ is a uniformizer. The corresponding self-dual measure dx on F is such that $\text{vol}(\mathcal{O}) = 1$.

1.1. Heisenberg group and standard realization. Let β_0 be the simple root to which the affine root attaches on the extended Dynkin diagram. The following lemma is easily checked case by case.

Lemma 1.1.1. *The fundamental weight corresponding to β_0 coincides with ω .*

Let $P \subset G$ be the maximal parabolic subgroup corresponding to $\Delta \setminus \{\beta_0\}$. We denote by H the unipotent radical of P . The Lie algebra of H is spanned by the roots in the set $\{\omega\} \cup \Sigma$, where Σ is the set of all roots γ such that $\omega - \gamma$ is also a root. Equivalently, $\Sigma = \{\gamma \in \Phi : \langle \omega, \gamma \rangle = 1\}$. We have a natural involution $*$: $\gamma \mapsto \omega - \gamma$ on Σ . Let us define the subset $\Pi \subset \Sigma$ by setting $\Pi = \{\beta_0\} \cup \Pi_1$, where

$$\Pi_1 = \{\beta \in \Sigma : \langle \beta_0, \beta \rangle = 1\} = \{\beta \in \Sigma : \beta - \beta_0 \in \Phi\}.$$

Then Σ is the disjoint union of Π and $*(\Pi)$.

We have $P = LH$, where L is the Levi subgroup: $L = G_1\beta_0^\vee(\mathbb{G}_m)$, where $G_1 = [L, L]$ is a semisimple group with simple roots $\Delta \setminus \{\beta_0\}$. The center $Z(L)$ of L is generated by the fundamental coweight $\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(\mathbb{G}_m)$ corresponding to β_0 . On the other hand, ω (considered as a character of the maximal torus) extends to the character $\omega : L \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ such that $G_1 = \ker \omega$.

The center of H is the subgroup $Z(H) = e_\omega(\mathbb{G}_a) \subset G$. The group H is the central extension of the vector space $V = H/Z(H)$ by $Z(H)$. Moreover, the commutator on H induces a symplectic form E on V . By the definition, we have

$$E(gv_1, gv_2) = \omega(g)E(v_1, v_2), \quad (1.1)$$

where $g \in L$, $v_1, v_2 \in V$. Let $\Lambda \subset V$ (resp. $\Lambda' \subset V$) be the span of the one-parametric subgroups corresponding to Π (resp. $*(\Pi)$). Then Λ and Λ' are Lagrangian subspaces in V . We denote the elements of Π_1 by $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$, so that $\Pi = \{\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$ and we set $\gamma_i = *(\beta_i)$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. In the case $G = D_k$ with $k \geq 5$ we make the following special choice of β_1 . If we remove the vertex β_0 from the Dynkin diagram of D_k , we get a disjoint union of A_1 and D_{k-2} . Let us denote by α_1 the simple root corresponding to A_1 in the obtained diagram. Then we set $\beta_1 = \beta_0 + \alpha_1$. For every root α let us set $e_\alpha = e_\alpha(1)$. Then $(e_{\beta_0}, \dots, e_{\beta_n}, e_{\gamma_0}, \dots, e_{\gamma_n})$ is a basis of V over F and we denote by $(x_0, \dots, x_n, x'_0, \dots, x'_n)$ the corresponding coordinates on V . Note that the symplectic form in this basis has the following form: $E(e_{\beta_i}, e_{\gamma_j}) = \delta_{ij}c(\beta_i, \gamma_j)$. Let us denote $\epsilon_i := E(e_{\beta_i}, e_{\gamma_i}) = \pm 1$. Using the properties of $c(\cdot, \cdot)$ we obtain the following expressions for these signs:

$$\epsilon_i = c(\beta_i, \gamma_i) = -c(\beta_i, \omega) = c(\gamma_i, \omega).$$

When β is one of the roots β_i we will also use the notation x_β for x_i .

The minimal representation of $G(F)$ is realized in the space of L^2 -functions $f(y, x_0, \dots, x_n)$. The action of $H(F)$ is given as follows:

$$e_\omega(t)f = \psi(ty)f,$$

$$e_{\gamma_i}(t)f = \psi(\epsilon_i tx_i)f,$$

$$e_{\beta_i}(t)f(y, x_0, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) = f(y, x_0, \dots, x_i + ty, \dots, x_n),$$

where $t \in F$, $i = 0, \dots, n$. The important role is played by the following two operators:

$$Sf(y, x_0, \dots, x_n) = \int_{F^{n+1}} f(y, \tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_n) \psi(y^{-1}(\tilde{x}_0 x_0 + \dots + \tilde{x}_n x_n)) |y|^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} d\tilde{x}_0 \dots d\tilde{x}_n,$$

$$Af(y, x_0, \dots, x_n) = \psi\left(-\frac{I(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{x_0 y}\right) f(-x_0, y, x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (1.2)$$

where $I = I_G$ is the cubic form

$$I(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i < j < k: \beta_i + \beta_j + \beta_k = \beta_0 + \omega} c(\beta_i, \beta_j) c(\beta_i, \beta_k) c(\beta_j, \beta_k) c(\beta_0, \omega) x_i x_j x_k.$$

The operator A represents the Weyl generator $s_{\beta_0} = e_{\beta_0}(1)e_{-\beta_0}(1)e_{\beta_0}(1)$, while S represents the longest element in the Weyl group of L (or rather its canonical lifting

to G). The action of an element t of the maximal torus $T \subset G$ is given by

$$tf(y, x_0, \dots, x_n) = |\omega(t) \prod_{i=0}^n \gamma_i(t)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot f(\omega(t)y, \gamma_0(t)x_0, \dots, \gamma_n(t)x_n).$$

In particular, $Z(L)$ acts as follows:

$$\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(c)f(y, x_0, \dots, x_n) = |c|^{\frac{n+3}{2}} f(c^2y, cx_0, \dots, cx_n),$$

where $c \in F^*$. On the other hand, the coroot corresponding to β_0 acts by

$$\beta_0^\vee(c)f(y, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(cy, c^{-1}x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Note that the operator A^2 corresponds to the action of $s_{\beta_0}^2 = \beta_0^\vee(-1)$.

We denote by R the space of smooth vectors in the minimal representation of $G(F)$. Later we will discuss the structure of this space in more details. Now we restrict ourselves to the following simple observations.

Lemma 1.1.2. (a) Every $f \in R$ has bounded support.

(b) For every $f \in R$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ the restriction of f to the set $\{(y, x_0, \dots, x_n) : |y| \geq \epsilon\}$ is locally constant.

(c) The space R contains all locally constant functions f with compact support contained in $F^* \times F^{n+1}$.

Proof. (a) This follows from the invariance of f under the action of $e_\omega(t)$ and $e_{\gamma_i}(t)$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, for sufficiently small $t \in F$.

(b) This follows from the invariance of f under the action of $e_{\beta_i}(t)$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, for sufficiently small $t \in F$.

(c) It is clear that such a function f is invariant with respect to some open subgroup in $P(F)$ (since it acts essentially through Weil representation). Assume first that the support of f is contained in the set $y \neq 0, x_0 \neq 0$. Then Af also has compact support in $F^* \times F^{n+1}$, so we get that Af is also invariant under the action of some open subgroup in $P(F)$. This implies that f is a smooth vector. The general case is reduced to this one, using the action of $L(F)$. $\square$

Our main result is the following formula for the spherical vector f_0 in R . Note that because of the invariance of f_0 with respect to A , it suffices to compute $f_0(y, x_0, \dots, x_n)$ for $|y| \leq |x_0|$.

Theorem 1.1.3. Let $f_0 \in R$ be the spherical vector normalized by the condition $f_0(1, 0, \dots, 0) = 1$. Then one has the following formulas for $f_0(y, x_0, x_1, \dots)$, where $|y| \leq |x_0|$.

(i) Case $G = E_k$.

$$f_0(y, x_0, x_1, \dots) = \begin{cases} \psi(-\frac{I}{yx_0})|x_0|^{-s-1} \cdot \frac{q^s |(x, \text{grad}(\frac{I}{x_0})x)|^{-s-1}}{q^s - 1}, & |(x, \text{grad}(\frac{I}{x_0})x)| \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots)$; $s = 1, 2, 4$ for $k = 6, 7, 8$, respectively, q is the number of elements in $\mathcal{O}/\pi\mathcal{O}$.

(ii) Case $G = D_k$, where $k \geq 5$.

$$f_0(y, x_0, x_1, \dots) = \begin{cases} \psi(-\frac{I}{yx_0})|x_0|^{-1} \max(1, |\frac{x_1}{x_0}|)^{k-4} \cdot \frac{q^{k-4} |(x, \text{grad}(\frac{I}{x_0})x)|^{-k+4-1}}{q^{k-4} - 1}, & |(x, \text{grad}(\frac{I}{x_0})x)| \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) Case $G = D_4$.

$$f_0(y, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} \psi(-\frac{x_1 x_2 x_3}{x_0 y}) |x_0|^{-1} \cdot [1 + v(x, \text{grad}(\frac{x_1 x_2 x_3}{x_0})x)], & v(x_0, x_1, \dots) \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where for every vector y we denote by $v(y) \in \mathbb{Z}$ the valuation of y (so that $|y| = q^{-v(y)}$).

The proof of this theorem will constitute sections 2–5. We end this section with several useful technical lemmas. First, we are going to look at the action of some subgroups of G on R . Let us consider the semisimple subgroup $G_0 \subset G_1$ corresponding to the root subsystem in Φ spanned by the simple roots not attached to β_0 . We have the following table:

G	G_1	G_0
D_k	$A_1 \times D_{k-2}$	D_{k-3}
E_6	A_5	$A_2 \times A_2$
E_7	D_6	A_5
E_8	E_7	E_6

Since, G_0 centralizes $Z(H)$ and the action of G_0 on V preserves the subspaces Λ and Λ' , the corresponding operators on R are

$$g_0 f(y, \lambda) = f(y, g^{-1} \lambda).$$

Now let us consider the Borel subgroup $B \subset L$ corresponding to positive roots in L , and let $U = [B, B] \subset B$ be the unipotent radical of B . It is easy to see that the action of B on V preserves the subspace $\Lambda' \subset V$. Furthermore, the element $e_{\gamma_0} \in V$ is invariant under the action of U . Hence, the action of U on V leaves the coordinate x_0 on V invariant. Now for every $g \in U(F)$ we have $g(\lambda, \lambda') = (A_g \lambda, (A_g^*)^{-1}(\lambda' + B_g \lambda))$, where $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\lambda' \in \Lambda'$, A_g is an operator on Λ , A_g^* is the dual operator on Λ' , B_g is a self-dual linear map from Λ to Λ' . The corresponding operator on R is

$$g f(y, \lambda) = \psi\left(\frac{E(B_g A_g^{-1} \lambda, A_g^{-1} \lambda)}{2y}\right) f(y, A_g^{-1} \lambda),$$

where $\lambda \in \Lambda$, E is the symplectic form on V . In the following lemma we consider $I = I$ as a function on Λ by setting $I(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = I(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Lemma 1.1.4. *For every $g \in U(F)$ one has*

$$\frac{E(\lambda, B_g(\lambda))}{2} = \frac{I(A_g \lambda) - I(\lambda)}{x_0(\lambda)},$$

where $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof. Since $B_{g_1 g_2} = A_{g_2}^* B_{g_1} A_{g_2} + B_{g_2}$ and the action of U preserves the coordinate x_0 , it suffices to check the identity in question for $g = e_\alpha(t)$, where α is a simple root $\neq \beta_0$. If $\langle \alpha, \beta_0 \rangle = 0$, then α belongs to the root system of G_0 . In this case $B_g = 0$, so we have to prove that the operator A_g preserves I . This follows easily from the fact that the operator A representing s_{β_0} commutes with g . Thus, we are reduced to the case $\langle \alpha, \beta_0 \rangle = -1$. In this case the proof is obtained by writing explicitly the equality of operators in the minimal representation corresponding to the identity

$$s_{\beta_0} e_\alpha(t) s_{\beta_0}^{-1} = [e_{\beta_0}(1), e_\alpha(t)].$$

□

Lemma 1.1.5. *For every t in the maximal torus of G one has*

$$I(\gamma_1(t)x_1, \dots, \gamma_n(t)x_n) = \gamma_0(t)\omega(t)I(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Proof. The cubic form I contains only monomials of the form $x_i x_j x_k$ such that $\beta_i + \beta_j + \beta_k = \beta_0 + \omega$. For such (i, j, k) one has also $\gamma_i + \gamma_j + \gamma_k = \gamma_0 + \omega$. □

Lemma 1.1.6. *Let us denote $\delta = \omega + \sum_{i=0}^n \gamma_i$. Then in the case $G = E_k$ one has*

$$\delta = 2(s+1)(\omega + \gamma_0),$$

where $s = 1, 2, 4$ for $k = 6, 7, 8$. On the other hand, for $G = D_k$ ($k \geq 4$) one has

$$\delta = (k-2)\omega + 2\gamma_0 + (k-4)\alpha_1,$$

where for $k \geq 5$ we denote by α_1 the simple root attached only to β_0 .

The proof is a straightforward computation.

1.2. Twisted realizations. Note that the additive character ψ above was assumed to be of order 0. Now we are going to explain how to construct a twisted version of the standard realization associated with an arbitrary non-trivial additive character of F .

Let M be a free $\mathcal{O}$ -module of rank 1 and let $\psi : F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a character which is trivial on M and non-trivial on $\pi^{-1}M$. Then one can realize the minimal representation of $G(F)$ in L_2 -functions of $y, x_0, \dots, x_n \in F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} M$ using essentially the same formulas as in section 1.1. One just has to notice that the arguments of ψ in these formulas are always expressions that are homogeneous of degree 1 in the variables, so they can be considered as elements of $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} M$. Also, the norm on $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} M$ is defined by $|a \otimes m| = |a|$ for $a \in F$, $m \in M \setminus \pi M$. Finally, the measure $|y|^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx$ appearing in the formula for the action of S should be replaced by the self-dual measure on $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} M$ with respect to the biadditive character $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \psi(x_1 x_2 / y)$ on this group (see [17], VII-2).

The formula of Theorem 1.1.3 for the spherical vector is still valid in this realization (with the above definition of norm on $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} M$). Note that this spherical vector is normalized by the condition $f_0(1 \otimes m, 0, \dots, 0) = 1$ for $m \in M \setminus \pi M$.

Now let $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be an arbitrary non-trivial character. Then we can take $M = \pi^{-\nu}\mathcal{O} \subset F$, where ν is the order of ψ , so that ψ is trivial on $\pi^{-\nu}\mathcal{O}$ and non-trivial on $\pi^{-\nu-1}\mathcal{O}$. Using the embedding of M in F we can identify $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} M$ with F . Then the realization of the minimal representation associated with M can be considered as a realization in L_2 -functions of $y, x_0, \dots, x_n \in F$. Let us denote the space of smooth vectors in this realization by R_{ψ} . Of course, it coincides with R but the action of $G(F)$ on R_{ψ} is different. Namely, let ψ_0 be a character of order 0 used to define R . Then there exists $a \in \pi^{\nu}\mathcal{O}^*$ such that $\psi(t) = \psi_0(at)$. The map $f(y, x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f(ay, ax_0, \dots, ax_n)$ is a $G(F)$ -isomorphism from R to R_{ψ} . The spherical vector f_0 in the realization R_{ψ} is supported on the $(\pi^{-\nu}\mathcal{O})^{n+2}$. We always normalize it by the condition that $f_0(\pi^{-\nu}, 0, \dots, 0) = 1$. Then f_0 is given by the formula of Theorem 1.1.3 with $|x|$ replaced by $|\pi^{\nu}x|$.

We will need twisted standard realizations for global applications in the second part of the paper. Until then we will always assume that ψ is of order 0.

2. CASE OF D_4

Our general strategy of finding the spherical vector f_0 is based on the study of the action of certain double Iwahori coset on Iwahori-invariant vectors in R . The case $G = D_4$ differs from all the others in that the action of this coset is not semisimple. One can overcome this difficulty, but we prefer to give a completely different derivation of the formula for f_0 in this case. We are going to use a realization of the minimal representation of D_4 on the space of functions on the quadratic cone $u_1v_1 + u_2v_2 + u_3v_3 = 0$ (similar realization exists for all D_n). Namely, the subgroup $D_3 \subset D_4$ acts geometrically by linear transformations of variables preserving the quadratic form. One more Weyl generator acts by the partial Fourier transform

$$w\phi(u_1, u_2, u_3, v_1, v_2, v_3) = |u_1|^{-2} \int_{u'_2, u'_3, v'_2, v'_3} \psi\left(\frac{u'_2v_2 + u'_3v_3 + u_2v'_2 + u_3v'_3}{u_1}\right) \times \\ \phi(-u_1, u'_2, u'_3, \frac{u'_2v'_2 + u'_3v'_3}{u_1}, v'_2, v'_3) du'_2 du'_3 dv'_2 dv'_3.$$

It follows from the results of Braverman and Kazhdan in [2] that the spherical vector in this realization is the function

$$\phi_0(x) = \delta_{\mathcal{O}}(x) \frac{|x|^{-1} - q^{-1}}{1 - q^{-1}},$$

where for a vector $x = (u_1, u_2, u_3, v_1, v_2, v_3)$ we denote by $|x|$ the maximum of norms of the coordinates, $\delta_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the characteristic function of the set where all coordinates are integers (the normalization is chosen in such a way that $\phi_0(x) = 1$ for $|x| = 1$). More precisely, we use the fact that our quadratic cone coincides with the basic affine space for the group SL_3 and that the generalized Fourier transforms Φ_w acting on functions on this space (see [2]), are part of the action of the Weyl group of D_4 . Theorem 3.13 of [2] describes an explicit basis in the space of K -invariants for some compact subgroup K in D_4 and the action of the operators Φ_w on it. Combining this description with the fact that the spherical vector is supported on points with integer coordinates, we obtain the formula above.

The intertwining operator from this realization to the standard one is given by the formula

$$\mathcal{S}\phi(y, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \int_{yy' + x_0x'_0 + x_1x_2 = 0} \psi\left(\frac{x_3x'_0}{y}\right) \phi(y, y', x_0, x'_0, x_1, x_2) \frac{dx'_0}{|y|}.$$

Thus, we can compute the spherical vector f_0 in the standard realization using the formula $f_0 = \mathcal{S}\phi_0$. In other words, we have to compute the following integral

$$f_0(y, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \int_{y', x'_0 \in \mathcal{O}: yy' + x_0x'_0 + x_1x_2 = 0} \psi\left(\frac{x_3x'_0}{y}\right) \frac{|(y, y', x_0, x'_0, x_1, x_2)|^{-1} - q^{-1}}{1 - q^{-1}} \cdot \frac{dx'_0}{|y|}$$

for $y, x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{O}$, $x_3 \in F$. First let us consider the case $|x_0| \leq |y|$. Then from the condition $y' = -\frac{x_0x'_0 + x_1x_2}{y}$ we see that the domain of integration is empty unless $\frac{x_1x_2}{y} \in \mathcal{O}$. On the other hand, if $\frac{x_1x_2}{y} \in \mathcal{O}$ then y' is automatically an integer. Furthermore, in this case $|(y, x_0)| = |y|$ and $|(x'_0, y')| = |(x'_0, \frac{x_1x_2}{y})|$, so we can write

$$f_0(y, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \int_{x'_0 \in \mathcal{O}} \psi\left(\frac{x_3x'_0}{y}\right) \frac{|(y, \frac{x_1x_2}{y}, x'_0, x_1, x_2)|^{-1} - q^{-1}}{1 - q^{-1}} \cdot \frac{dx'_0}{|y|}.$$

Let us set $a = |(y, \frac{x_1 x_2}{y}, x_1, x_2)|$. Note that by our assumptions $a \leq 1$. Now we can split the domain of the above integral into two pieces: $|x'_0| \leq a$ and $a < |x'_0| \leq 1$, so that

$$|y|f_0(y, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{a^{-1} - q^{-1}}{1 - q^{-1}} \int_{|x'_0| \leq a} \psi(\frac{x_3 x'_0}{y}) dx'_0 + \int_{a < |x'_0| \leq 1} \psi(\frac{x_3 x'_0}{y}) \frac{|x'_0|^{-1} - q^{-1}}{1 - q^{-1}} dx'_0.$$

The first integral can be computed immediately:

$$I_1 = \int_{|x'_0| \leq a} \psi(\frac{x_3 x'_0}{y}) dx'_0 = \begin{cases} 0, & |\frac{x_3}{y}| > a^{-1}, \\ a, & |\frac{x_3}{y}| \leq a^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

The second integral can be rewritten as follows:

$$I_2 = \int_{a < |x'_0| \leq 1} \psi(\frac{x_3 x'_0}{y}) \frac{|x'_0|^{-1} - q^{-1}}{1 - q^{-1}} dx'_0 = \sum_{b \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}: a < b \leq 1} \frac{b^{-1} - q^{-1}}{1 - q^{-1}} \cdot \int_{|x'_0|=b} \psi(\frac{x_3 x'_0}{y}) dx'_0.$$

Now we use the fact that for $b \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $t \in F^*$ one has

$$\int_{|x|=b} \psi(tx) dx = \begin{cases} 0, & |t| > qb^{-1}, \\ -q^{-1}b, & |t| = qb^{-1}, \\ (1 - q^{-1})b, & |t| \leq b^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

It follows that $I_2 = 0$ unless $|\frac{x_3}{y}| \leq a^{-1}$. Now let us consider two cases: (1) $|\frac{x_3}{y}| \leq 1$, (2) $1 < |\frac{x_3}{y}| \leq a^{-1}$. In the first case, denoting $a = q^{-n}$ (where $n \geq 0$) we obtain

$$I_2 = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} (1 - q^{-i-1}) = n - \frac{q^{-1}(1 - a)}{1 - q^{-1}},$$

and therefore

$$|y|f_0(y, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = 1 + v(y, \frac{x_1 x_2}{y}, x_1, x_2).$$

In the second case, setting $m = v(\frac{y}{x_3})$ we get

$$I_2 = \sum_{m \leq i < n} (1 - q^{-i-1}) - \frac{q^{-1}}{1 - q^{-1}} (1 - |\frac{y}{x_3}|) = n - m - \frac{q^{-1}(1 - a)}{1 - q^{-1}}$$

provided that $m \leq n$ (otherwise, $I_2 = 0$). Hence, in this case

$$|y|f_0(y, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = 1 + v(y, \frac{x_1 x_2}{y}, x_1, x_2) - v(\frac{y}{x_3}) = 1 + v(x_3, \frac{x_1 x_2 x_3}{y^2}, \frac{x_1 x_3}{y}, \frac{x_1 x_2}{y})$$

provided that all the components of the last vector are integers (otherwise, we get zero). We can unify these answers by writing

$$f_0(y, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = |y|^{-1} \cdot [1 + v(y, x_1, x_2, x_3, \frac{x_1 x_2}{y}, \frac{x_1 x_3}{y}, \frac{x_2 x_3}{y}, \frac{x_1 x_2 x_3}{y^2})],$$

if all the components of the argument of v are integers and is zero otherwise. The formula in the case $|y| \leq |x_0|$ follows from the invariance of f_0 with respect to the

operator A . Here is the resulting formula for f_0 :

$$f_0(y, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} |y|^{-1} \cdot [1 + v(y, x_1, x_2, x_3, \frac{x_1 x_2}{y}, \frac{x_1 x_3}{y}, \frac{x_2 x_3}{y}, \frac{x_1 x_2 x_3}{y^2})], & |x_0| \leq |y|, v(y, x_1, \dots) \geq 0 \\ \psi(-\frac{x_1 x_2 x_3}{x_0 y}) |x_0|^{-1} \cdot [1 + v(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \frac{x_1 x_2}{x_0}, \frac{x_1 x_3}{x_0}, \frac{x_2 x_3}{x_0}, \frac{x_1 x_2 x_3}{x_0^2})], & |y| \leq |x_0|, v(x_0, x_1, \dots) \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3. THE SPACE OF SMOOTH VECTORS IN THE MINIMAL REPRESENTATION

In this section we take a closer look at the space of smooth vectors in the minimal representation. Then we apply the results of this study to derive some information on $L(\mathcal{O})$ -invariant smooth vectors. In the case of exceptional groups most of the results proved in 3.1 and 3.2 can be found in section 6 of [11].

3.1. Representation of the Levi subgroup and the Lagrangian subvariety.

Let us look at the action of L on V . We already know how the maximal torus acts on V , so it remains to determine the action of the commutator subgroup $G_1 \subset L$.

Lemma 3.1.1. *Assume that $G = E_k$ and let α_0 be the unique simple root attached to β_0 . Then V is the fundamental representation of G_1 corresponding to α_0 . In the case $G = D_k$, $k \geq 5$, we have a decomposition $G_1 = \mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SO}(2k-4)$. Then V is the tensor product of the standard representation of SL_2 with the standard representation of $\mathrm{SO}(2k-4)$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that $\langle \gamma_0, \alpha \rangle = 0$ for all simple roots α that are not attached to β_0 while $\langle \gamma_0, \alpha \rangle = 1$ for simple roots attached to β_0 . $\square$

Let us denote by $Q_{\gamma_0} \subset L$ the stabilizer subgroup of the line spanned by e_{γ_0} .

Lemma 3.1.2. *The group $P_1 = Q_{\gamma_0} \cap G_1$ is a parabolic subgroup in G_1 containing $B \cap G_1$. In the case $G = E_k$, it is the maximal parabolic corresponding to the simple root α_0 . In the case $G = D_k$ we have $G_1 = \mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SO}(2k-4)$ and P_1 is the product of the Borel subgroup $B \cap \mathrm{SL}_2 \subset \mathrm{SL}_2$ with the stabilizer subgroup of the line spanned by the highest weight vector in the standard representation of $\mathrm{SO}(2k-4)$.*

For every algebraic group Γ over F we denote by $X(\Gamma)$ the group of algebraic homomorphisms $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ (defined over F).

Lemma 3.1.3. *Let $T \subset G$ be the maximal torus, $T_0 \subset T$ be the maximal torus of G_0 . The natural homomorphism*

$$T(F) \rightarrow Q_{\gamma_0}(F)/[Q_{\gamma_0}(F), Q_{\gamma_0}(F)]$$

is surjective. Similar statement is true for the algebraic homomorphism $T \rightarrow Q_{\gamma_0}/[Q_{\gamma_0}, Q_{\gamma_0}]$. The image of the induced embedding $X(Q_{\gamma_0}) \rightarrow X(T)$ consists of all characters of T that are trivial on T_0 . Same statements hold for Q_{β_0} , the stabilizer subgroup of the line spanned by e_{β_0} .

Proof. We have a decomposition of $Q_{\gamma_0}(F)$ into a semi-direct product of the normal subgroup $P_1(F) = Q_{\gamma_0} \cap G_1(F)$ and the one-dimensional torus $\beta_0^\vee(F^*)$. Therefore, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P_1(F)/[Q_{\gamma_0}(F), P_1(F)] \rightarrow Q_{\gamma_0}(F)/[Q_{\gamma_0}(F), Q_{\gamma_0}(F)] \xrightarrow{\omega} F^* \rightarrow 0$$

which has a splitting induced by $\beta_0^\vee$. It remains to observe that

$$P_1(F)/[P_1(F), P_1(F)] = L_1(F)/G_0(F) = T_1(F)/T_0(F),$$

where $L_1 \subset P_1$ is the Levi subgroup, $T_i = T \cap G_i$. Hence, the natural map

$$T_1(F)/T_0(F) \rightarrow P_1(F)/[Q_{\gamma_0}(F), P_1(F)]$$

is an isomorphism, which implies the first statement. Similar argument works for algebraic characters. Finally, Q_{β_0} is conjugate to Q_{γ_0} by the action of the longest element of the Weyl group of G_1 , so we obtain the same results for Q_{β_0} . $\square$

Note that in the case $G = E_k$ the lattice $\ker(X(T) \rightarrow X(T_0))$ is spanned by ω and β_0 , while in the case $G = D_k$, $k \geq 5$, it is spanned by ω , β_0 and $(\alpha_1 + \omega)/2$.

Let us define the subvariety $C \subset V$ by setting $C = Le_{\beta_0}$.

Proposition 3.1.4. (i) C is a Lagrangian subvariety invariant with respect to the involution $*$: $V \rightarrow V$.

(ii) $C \cup \{0\}$ coincides with the closure of the graph of the birational map $\text{grad}(\frac{I}{x_0}) : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$, where we identify Λ' with the dual of Λ by the map $\lambda' \mapsto E(\cdot, \lambda')$ (E is the symplectic form on V).

Proof. (i) Since the symplectic form on V is L -invariant, it suffices to check that the tangent space to C at e_{β_0} is a Lagrangian subspace of V . But this tangent space $T_{e_{\beta_0}}C$ is spanned by e_{β_0} and by the basis vectors of the form $e_{\beta_0+\alpha}$ where α belongs to the root system generated by $\Delta \setminus \{\beta_0\}$. Therefore, $T_{e_{\beta_0}}C$ coincides with Λ . The involution $*$ corresponds to the action of the longest element of the Weyl group of L , hence C is invariant with respect to it.

(ii) Since both varieties in question are irreducible and have dimension $n+1$, it suffices to check that the graph Γ of $\text{grad}(\frac{I}{x_0})$ is L -invariant. The invariance of Γ with respect to the action of the Borel subgroup $B \subset L$ follows from Lemmas 1.1.4 and 1.1.5. The fact that $*(\Gamma) = \Gamma$ follows from the equality

$$\text{grad}(I/x_0) \circ \text{grad}(I/x_0) = \text{id},$$

where we identify Λ with Λ' using the involution $*$: $V \rightarrow V$. This equality follows easily from Lemma 3.1.5 below. $\square$

Lemma 3.1.5. *The action of the Levi subgroup $L_1 \subset P_1$ preserves the subspaces $(e_{\beta_0}) \subset \Lambda \subset V$. The induced action of L_1 on variables $\Lambda/(e_{\beta_0})$ is prehomogeneous (i.e., has an open orbit) and the cubic form I is a relative invariant for this action. Let us identify $\Lambda/(e_{\beta_0})$ with $\Lambda'/(e_{\gamma_0})$ using the involution $*$ (these spaces are also naturally dual to each other). Then one has*

$$\text{grad } I(\text{grad } I(x)) = I(x)x,$$

$$I(\text{grad } I(x)) = I(x)^2$$

for $x \in \Lambda/(e_{\beta_0})$.

Proof. In fact, these prehomogeneous spaces are well-known. For $G = E_6$ this is (a subgroup of) $\text{GL}_3 \times \text{GL}_3$ acting on 3×3 -matrices by left multiplication, and our cubic form coincides with the determinant. For $G = E_7$ we will have $L_1 = \text{GL}_6$ acting on 6×6 skew-symmetric matrices and I is the Pfaffian. Finally, for $G = E_8$, we will have $L_1 = E_6 \times \mathbb{G}_m$ acting on the irreducible 27-dimensional representation of E_6 and I coincides with the standard cubic form on this representation (see [14]).

The identities for $\text{grad } I$ are well-known in the theory of irreducible prehomogeneous spaces (see [12]).

For $G = D_k$ we have $I = x_1 Q(x_2, \dots, x_n)$, where Q is a non-degenerate quadratic form, so these identities are easy to check directly. $\square$

Let us define the twisted action of L on V by the formula

$$g * v = \omega(g)^{-1} g v.$$

Lemma 3.1.6. *The twisted action of $L(F)$ on $C(F)$ is transitive.*

Proof. The similar statement over $\overline{F}$ is clear, so it suffices to prove that the kernel (=the preimage of the trivial class) of the natural map $H^1(F, S) \rightarrow H^1(F, L)$ is trivial, where $S = S_{\gamma_0}$ is the stabilizer subgroup of $e_{\gamma_0} \in C(F)$ (with respect to the twisted action). Since $Q_{\gamma_0} = S_{\gamma_0} \times \omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(\mathbb{G}_m)$, we have $H^1(F, S_{\gamma_0}) \simeq H^1(F, Q_{\gamma_0})$. On the other hand, Q_{γ_0} is a semi-direct product of the normal subgroup P_1 and $\beta_0^\vee(\mathbb{G}_m)$, so $H^1(F, Q_{\gamma_0}) \simeq H^1(F, P_1) \simeq H^1(F, L_1)$. Furthermore, since L_1 is a semi-direct product of G_0 and an F -split torus, we have $H^1(F, L_1) \simeq H^1(F, G_0)$. In the case $G = E_k$ the set $H^1(F, G_0)$ is trivial. On the other hand, in the case $G = D_k$ the map $H^1(F, G_0) \rightarrow H^1(F, G_1) \simeq H^1(F, L)$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

Let us denote by Λ^0 the open subset of Λ consisting of elements with $x_0 \neq 0$. By Proposition 3.1.4(ii) the projection $C \cap (\Lambda^0 \times \Lambda') \rightarrow \Lambda^0$ is an isomorphism, so we can identify Λ^0 with an open subset in C .

Let $U \subset B \subset L$ be the unipotent radical of B , and let $\beta_0^\vee(\mathbb{G}_m) \subset B$ be the one-dimensional subtorus corresponding to β_0 . It is easy to see that

$$\Lambda^0 = (\beta_0^\vee(\mathbb{G}_m)U) * e_{\beta_0}.$$

We are going to construct a subvariety $X \subset \beta_0^\vee(\mathbb{G}_m)U$, such that the natural map $X \rightarrow \Lambda^0 : x \mapsto x * e_{\beta_0}$ is an isomorphism. Let $S_{\beta_0} \subset L$ be the stabilizer subgroup of $e_{\beta_0} \in C(F)$ with respect to the twisted action of L : $S_{\beta_0} = \{g \in L : g * e_{\beta_0} = e_{\beta_0}\}$. It is easy to see that the intersection $S_{\beta_0} \cap \beta_0^\vee(\mathbb{G}_m)U$ is contained in U . More precisely, we have

$$S_{\beta_0} \cap U \beta_0^\vee(\mathbb{G}_m) = S_{\beta_0} \cap U = Q_{\beta_0} \cap U = P_1^- \cap U,$$

where Q_{β_0} be the stabilizer of the line spanned by e_{β_0} , $P_1^- \subset G_1$ is the opposite subgroup to the maximal parabolic P_1 (see Lemma 3.1.2). Therefore, it suffices to find a subvariety $X_0 \subset U$ such that the projection $X_0 \rightarrow U/P_1^- \cap U$ is an isomorphism (then we can set $X = \beta_0^\vee(\mathbb{G}_m)X_0$). But

$$P_1^- \cap U = L_1 \cap U = G_0 \cap U,$$

where $G_0 \subset G_1$ is the semisimple subgroup corresponding to simple roots which are not attached to β_0 . Let us denote by $\Phi_1 \subset \Phi$ the root system of G_1 and let $\Sigma_1 \subset \Phi_1$ be the set of roots in Φ_1 which have a positive coefficient with some simple root attached to β_0 . Then we can set

$$X_0 = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_1} e_\alpha(F) \subset U.$$

Now the subvariety $X = \beta_0^\vee(\mathbb{G}_m)X_0 \subset L$ projects isomorphically to Λ^0 by the twisted action of L on e_{β_0} . Thus, there is a unique map

$$\sigma : \Lambda^0 \rightarrow X \tag{3.1}$$

such that

$$\sigma(v) * e_{\beta_0} = v$$

for all $v \in \Lambda^0$.

3.2. Minimal representation and the $L(F)$ -equivariant line bundle on $C(F)$.

Recall that we denote by R the space of smooth vectors in the minimal representation of $G(F)$. Let us denote by $R' \subset R$ the subspace consisting of locally constant functions with compact support in $F^* \times F^{n+1}$ (see Lemma 1.1.2). It is clear that the subgroup $Z(H)(F) = e_\omega(F) \subset G(F)$ acts trivially on the quotient R/R' . Therefore, the space R/R' is a representation of the semi-direct product of $V(F) = H(F)/Z(H)(F)$ and $L(F)$. In this section we construct an $L(F) \ltimes V(F)$ -equivariant map from R/R' to the space of locally constant sections of certain $L(F)$ -equivariant line bundle over $C(F) \subset V(F)$. Later we will show that in fact R/R' coincides with coinvariants of $Z(H)(F)$ on R and that the constructed map is an embedding (see Proposition 6.1.1).

For every non-zero vector $v \in V$ let us consider the linear map $l_v : V(F) \rightarrow F$ given by $l_v(x) = E(v, x)$, and extend it to a homomorphism $l_v : H(F) \rightarrow F$, trivial on $Z(H)(F)$. To understand the spectrum of the action of $V(F)$ on R/R' we have to compute the (twisted) spaces of coinvariants

$$R(v) := R_{H, l_v} = R / \{hf - \psi(l_v(h))f, h \in H(F), f \in R\}$$

for all $v \neq 0$.

Let $f(y, x_0, x)$ be an element of R . We claim that for fixed $x_0 \in F^*$ and $x \in F^n$, the expression $\psi(\frac{I(x)}{x_0 y})f(y, x_0, x)$ stabilizes as y tends to 0. Indeed, applying the operator A we see that our claim is equivalent to the statement that for $y \neq 0$ the expression $f(y, x_0, x)$ stabilizes as x_0 tends to 0. But this follows from invariance of f with respect to $e_{\beta_0}(\pi^m \mathcal{O})$ for sufficiently large m .

Recall that we denoted by Λ^0 the open subset of Λ consisting of elements with $x_0 \neq 0$. Let us define a map $R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(\Lambda^0(F)) : f \mapsto \bar{f}$ by setting

$$\bar{f}(x_0, x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \psi(\frac{I(x)}{x_0 y})f(y, x_0, x). \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 3.2.1. *One has the following identities*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{e_\omega(a)f} &= \bar{f}, \\ \overline{e_{\gamma_i}(a)f} &= \psi(\epsilon_i a x_i) \bar{f}, \\ \overline{e_{\beta_i}(a)f} &= \psi(-a \frac{\partial(I/x_0)}{\partial x_i}) \bar{f}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a \in F$. Also, for t in the maximal torus we have

$$\bar{t} \bar{f}(x_0, \dots, x_n) = |\delta(t)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{f}(\gamma_0(t)x_0, \dots, \gamma_n(t)x_n),$$

where the character δ was defined in Lemma 1.1.6.

Proof. The first three identities follow easily from the explicit formulas for the action of the relevant operators on R . The last identity follows from the formula for the action of t on R and from Lemma 1.1.5. $\square$

Consider the linear functional f_0^* on R defined by

$$f_0^*(f) = \bar{f}(\beta_0) = \bar{f}(1, 0) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} f(y, 1, 0).$$

We have

$$f_0^*(e_\omega(t)f) = f_0^*(e_{\beta_i}(t)f) = f_0^*(e_{\gamma_j}(t)f) = f_0^*(f),$$

where $i = 0, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n$, while

$$f_0^*(e_{\gamma_0}(t)f) = \psi(\epsilon_0 t) f_0^*(f).$$

In other words, f_0^* descends to a functional on the space of coinvariants $R(e_{\beta_0})$. The following Proposition implies that f_0^* is characterized by this property up to a scalar. In the case of exceptional groups it is equivalent to Lemma 6.2 of [11] (in the case $G = E_8$ Magaard and Savin impose the additional assumption that the residual characteristic of F differs from 2).

Proposition 3.2.2. (i) *The functional f_0^* is not equal to zero.*
(ii) *For every $v \in C(F)$ the space $R(v)$ is one-dimensional.*
(iii) *For $v \neq 0$ such that $v \notin C(F)$, one has $R(v) = 0$.*

Proof. (i) Let $f \in R'$ be the characteristic function of a small compact neighborhood of $(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in F^* \times F^{n+1}$. Then $f_0^*(Af) \neq 0$, hence $f_0^* \neq 0$.
(ii) For every $g \in L(F)$ we have $l_v(Ad(g^{-1})h) = l_{\omega(g)^{-1}gv}(h)$. Hence, the action of g induces an isomorphism $g : R(v) \xrightarrow{\sim} R(\omega(g)^{-1}gv) = R(g * v)$. Since by Lemma 3.1.6 the twisted action of $L(V)$ on $C(F)$ is transitive, it suffices to prove that the space $R(e_{\beta_0})$ is one-dimensional. From part (i) we know that f_0^* is a non-zero element of $R(e_{\beta_0})^*$. Now let f^* be a functional on R that factors through $R(e_{\beta_0})$. Consider the functional

$$f_1^*(f) = f^*(Af)$$

where A is the operator 1.2. Since the simple reflection s_{β_0} preserves γ_j with $j \geq 1$ invariant, we obtain

$$f_1^*(e_{\gamma_j}(t)f) = f_1^*(f)$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. On the other hand, since s_{β_0} switches ω and γ_0 , we have the relations

$$\begin{aligned} e_\omega(t) &= s_{\beta_0} e_{\gamma_0}(\epsilon_0 t) s_{\beta_0}^{-1} \\ e_{\gamma_0}(t) &= s_{\beta_0} e_\omega(-\epsilon_0 t) s_{\beta_0}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^*(e_{\gamma_0}(t)f) &= f_1^*(f), \\ f_1^*(e_\omega(t)f) &= \psi(-t) f_1^*(f). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the latter condition implies that $f_1^*(f)$ depends only on the restriction $f'(x_0, \dots, x_n) = f(-1, x_0, \dots, x_n)$ of f to $y = -1$. Indeed assume that $f(-1, x_0, \dots, x_n) \equiv 0$. We want to prove that $f_1^*(f) = 0$. Let us write $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 = f \delta_{|y| < \epsilon}$, $f_2 = f \delta_{|y| \geq \epsilon}$, $\epsilon > 0$, and δ_C denotes the characteristic function of a set C . By Lemma 1.1.2, we have $f_2 \in R'$. Hence, $f_1 \in R$. Let us pick $t_0 \in F^*$ such that $\psi(-t_0) \neq 1$. For sufficiently small ϵ we have $\psi(yt_0)f_1 = f_1$, hence

$$f_1 = \frac{\psi(yt_0) - \psi(-t_0)}{1 - \psi(-t_0)} \cdot f_1 = (e_\omega(t_0) - \psi(-t_0)) \frac{f_1}{1 - \psi(-t_0)}.$$

This implies that $f_1^*(f_1) = 0$. On the other hand, since $f_2(-1, x_0, \dots, x_n) \equiv 0$, it follows that f_2 lies in $\sum_{t \in F^*} (e_\omega(t) - \psi(-t))(R')$, so $f_1^*(f_2) = 0$. Therefore, $f_1^*(f) = 0$ which proves our claim. Note that $f' = f|_{-1 \times F^{n+1}}$ can be an arbitrary

locally constant function with compact support in $(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ (as follows from Lemma 1.1.2(c)). Since of $e_{\gamma_i}(t)f = \psi(\epsilon_i t x_i)f$ we obtain that

$$f_1^*(\psi(t x_i)f') = f_1^*(f')$$

for all $i \geq 0$, which implies that f_1^* is proportional to $f \mapsto f(-1, 0, \dots, 0)$. It follows that f^* is proportional to f_0^* .

(ii) Using the twisted action of $L(V)$ as in the proof of part (i) we can assume that $x_0(v) \neq 0$. Let f^* be a functional on R that factors through $R(v)$. The same argument as in part (i) shows that f^* is proportional to the functional $f \mapsto \overline{f}(x_0(v), \dots, x_n(v))$. Now imposing the conditions $f^*(e_{\beta_i}(t)f) = \psi(tE(v, e_{\beta_i}))f^*(f)$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$ and using Lemma 3.2.1 and Proposition 3.1.4 we immediately see that $v \notin C(V)$ should imply that $f^* = 0$. $\square$

Recall that $S_{\beta_0} \subset L$ is the stabilizer subgroup of $e_{\beta_0} \in C(F)$ with respect to the twisted action of L .

Corollary 3.2.3. *The functional $f_0^* : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an eigenvector for the action of $S_{\beta_0}(F)$.*

Let $\chi : S_{\beta_0}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be the character defined by the condition

$$f_0^*(g^{-1}f) = \chi(g)f_0^*(f) \quad (3.3)$$

for all $g \in S_{\beta_0}(F)$, $f \in R$. Using this character we can define an $L(F)$ -equivariant complex line bundle over $C(F)$, such that its fiber at the point $v \in C(F)$ is canonically identified with v .

Definition. We define $\mathcal{L}$ to be the $L(F)$ -equivariant line bundle over $C(F)$ corresponding to the character χ of $S_{\beta_0}(F)$: $\mathcal{L}$ is the quotient $\mathbb{C} \times L(F)/S_{\beta_0}(F)$, where the (right) action of $S_{\beta_0}(F)$ on $\mathbb{C} \times L(F)$ is given by $g_0(z, g) = (\chi(g_0)z, gg_0)$. The projection $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow C(F)$ is given by $(z, g) \mapsto g * e_{\beta_0}$.

We have a natural identification $R(v) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}|_v$: an element $f \in R(v)$ corresponds to an orbit of $(f_0^*(g^{-1}f), g)$, where $g \in L(F)$ is such that $g * e_{\beta_0} = v$. The relation (3.3) guarantees that this map is well-defined. Under this isomorphism the action of $L(F)$ on $\mathcal{L}$ is given by the natural operators $g : R(v) \rightarrow R(g * v)$, where $g \in L(F)$, $v \in C(F)$ (recall that the action of $L(F)$ on $\mathcal{L}$ is compatible with its twisted action on $C(F)$).

Let us denote by $\pi_v : R \rightarrow R(v)$ the natural projection. Using the above identification, we get an $L(F)$ -equivariant map

$$\text{res} : R \rightarrow \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L}) : f \mapsto (v \mapsto \pi_v(f)),$$

where $\Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ is the space of all global sections of $\mathcal{L}$. In fact, this map is $P(F)$ -equivariant, where $H(F)$ acts on $\Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ through the quotient $V(F)$ and the action of $v \in V(F)$ on $s \in \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ is given by

$$v \cdot s(x) = \psi(E(x, v))s(x). \quad (3.4)$$

Indeed, this follows immediately from the properties of the functional f_0^* and from the identity $E(g * x, gy) = E(x, y)$ for $x, y \in V$, $g \in L$.

Below we will compute the character χ explicitly (see Lemma 3.2.4). In particular, we will see that it is locally constant. Hence, the transition functions for the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ are also locally constant. Therefore, it makes sense to talk about

locally constant sections of $\mathcal{L}$. Since R consists of smooth vectors, the image of the map res is contained in the subspace of smooth vectors in $\Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ considered as a representation of $L(F)$. In particular, the sections $\text{res}(f)$ for $f \in R$ are locally constant. Also, from Lemma 1.1.2, we obtain that $\text{res}(f)$ has bounded support.

In the next lemma we compute the character χ of S_{β_0} . Recall that in Lemma 1.1.6 we defined a character $\delta : T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$. It is easy to check that $\delta|_{T_0} \equiv 1$, where $T_0 \subset T$ is the maximal torus of G_0 . Therefore, using Lemma 3.1.3 we can extend δ to a character of Q_{β_0} .

Lemma 3.2.4. *One has*

$$\chi(g) = |\delta(g)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.5)$$

where $g \in S_{\beta_0}(F)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1.3, the root γ_0 (considered as a character of the maximal torus) extends to the character $\gamma_0 : Q_{\beta_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$, such that $S_{\beta_0} = \ker \gamma_0$. Furthermore, since $\langle \gamma_0, \beta_0 \rangle = -1$, the group Q_{β_0} is the direct product of S_{β_0} and $Z(L) = \omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(\mathbb{G}_m)$.

Set $T' = T \cap S_{\beta_0}$, so that T' is the kernel of the character $\gamma_0 : T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$. We claim that every character of $S_{\beta_0}(F)$ is determined by its restriction to $T'(F)$. Indeed, composing a character $\mu : S_{\beta_0}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ with the projector $Q_{\beta_0} \rightarrow S_{\beta_0}$ along $Z(L)$, we get a character $\tilde{\mu}$ of $Q_{\beta_0}(F)$. Assuming that $\mu|_{T'(F)} \equiv 1$ we get $\tilde{\mu}|_{T'(F)} \equiv 1$. On the other hand, $\tilde{\mu}$ is trivial on $Z(L)(F)$. Since T is the direct product of T' and $Z(L)$, we obtain that $\tilde{\mu}|_{T(F)} \equiv 1$. Now Lemma 3.1.3 implies that $\tilde{\mu} \equiv 1$, hence $\mu \equiv 1$. This proves our claim.

Therefore, it suffices to check the equality (3.5) for $g \in T'(F)$. But in this case it follows from the explicit formula for the action of $T(F)$ on R . $\square$

Remark. In the case $G = E_k$ the character δ of S_{β_0} is the restriction of the character $2(s+1)\omega$ of L where $s = 1, 2, 4$ for $k = 6, 7, 8$ (see Lemma 1.1.6). It follows that in this case the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ has a canonical trivialization (however, the L -equivariant structure is twisted). In the case $G = D_4$ we have a similar situation, since δ coincides with the restriction of 2ω . However, in the case $G = D_k$ with $k \geq 5$, the character δ of S_{β_0} does not extend to a character of L , so we cannot trivialize $\mathcal{L}$.

3.3. Trivialization over an open part. In this section we consider a trivialization of $\mathcal{L}$ over an open subset $\Lambda^0(F) \subset C(F)$, under which the natural map

$$\text{res} : R \rightarrow \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^0(F), \mathcal{L})$$

gets identified with the map $f \mapsto \bar{f}$ given by (3.2). Namely, let $\sigma : \Lambda^0 \rightarrow X \subset \beta_0^\vee(\mathbb{G}_m)U$ be the map (3.1). Then for every $v \in \Lambda^0$ the action of $\sigma(v)$ defines an isomorphism $R(e_{\beta_0}) \xrightarrow{\sim} R(v)$ (recall that $\sigma(v) * e_{\beta_0} = v$). Since f_0^* identifies $R(e_{\beta_0})$ with $\mathbb{C}$, we get a trivialization of $\mathcal{L}$ over Λ^0 . We claim that under this trivialization, the section $\text{res } f$ corresponds to the function $\bar{f}$ on Λ^0 . Here is the precise statement.

Proposition 3.3.1. *For every $f \in R$ and $v \in \Lambda^0$ one has*

$$f_0^*(\sigma(v)^{-1}f) = \bar{f}(v).$$

Proof. Let us write $g = \sigma(v) = tu$, where $t \in \beta_0^\vee(F^*)$, $u \in U$. By the definition we have $g * e_{\beta_0} = \omega(t)^{-1}ge_{\beta_0} = v$. On the other hand,

$$g^{-1}f(y, e_{\beta_0}) = |\delta(t)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \psi\left(\frac{E(B_{u^{-1}}A_ue_{\beta_0}, A_ue_{\beta_0})}{2y}\right)f(\omega(t)^{-1}y, \omega(t)^{-1}tA_ue_{\beta_0}).$$

Note that

$$\langle \beta_0, \delta \rangle = \langle \beta_0, \omega \rangle + \sum_{i=0}^n \langle \beta_0, \gamma_i \rangle = 0$$

since $\langle \beta_0, \omega \rangle = 1$, $\langle \beta_0, \gamma_0 \rangle = -1$ and $\langle \beta_0, \gamma_i \rangle = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Therefore, $\delta(t) = 1$. Also, by Lemma 1.1.4, we have

$$\frac{E(B_{u^{-1}}A_ue_{\beta_0}, A_ue_{\beta_0})}{2} = \frac{-I(e_{\beta_0}) + I(A_ue_{\beta_0})}{x_0(A_ue_{\beta_0})} = I(A_ue_{\beta_0}).$$

Substituting this into the above expression we get

$$f_0^*(g^{-1}f) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \psi\left(\frac{I(A_ue_{\beta_0})}{y}\right)f(\omega(t)^{-1}y, \omega(t)^{-1}tA_ue_{\beta_0}) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \psi\left(\frac{I(A_ue_{\beta_0})}{\omega(t)y}\right)f(y, \omega(t)^{-1}tA_ue_{\beta_0}).$$

Using Lemma 1.1.5, it is easy to see that this limit is equal to $\overline{f}(\omega(t)^{-1}ge_{\beta_0}) = \overline{f}(v)$. $\square$

Corollary 3.3.2. *Assume that $G = E_k$ (resp. $G = D_4$). Then for every $f \in R$ the function $|x_0|^{s+1}\overline{f}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ (resp. $|x_0|\overline{f}(x_0, \dots, x_n)$) extends to a locally constant function on $C(F)$ and the action of $L(F)$ on f is compatible with the action of $L(F)$ on $|\omega|^{s+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}(C(F))$ (resp. $|\omega| \otimes \mathbb{C}(C(F))$), where $|\omega|$ is the line bundle of volume forms on $C(F)$.*

3.4. $L(\mathcal{O})$ -invariant vectors. In this section we derive a general form of $\overline{f}$, where $f \in R$ is an $L(\mathcal{O})$ -invariant vector. The derivation is based on the study of $L(\mathcal{O})$ -invariant sections of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over $C(F)$.

Recall that by Lemma 3.1.6, $C(F)$ can be identified with $L(F)/S_{\beta_0}(F)$. Therefore, every global section Φ of $\mathcal{L}$ can be described by the function

$$\Psi(g) = f_0^*(g^{-1}\Phi(g * e_{\beta_0})) \quad (3.6)$$

on $L(F)$, where f_0^* is the functional on $\mathcal{L}|_0 = R(e_{\beta_0})$ considered in section 3.2. Now we are going to use the Iwasawa decomposition

$$L(F) = L(\mathcal{O})Q_{\beta_0}(F) = L(\mathcal{O})\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(F^*)S_{\beta_0}(F).$$

Lemma 3.4.1. *Let Φ be an $L(\mathcal{O})$ -invariant global section of $\mathcal{L}$. Then there exists a function $\Psi_{\mathbb{Z}}$ on $\mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$\Psi(k\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)g_0) = |\delta(g_0)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\mathbb{Z}}(v(a)),$$

where Ψ is the function on $L(F)$ given by (3.6), $k \in L(\mathcal{O})$, $a \in F^*$, $g_0 \in S_{\beta_0}(F)$.

Proof. Lemma 3.2.4 implies that

$$\Psi(gg_0) = |\delta(g_0)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Psi(g)$$

for $g_0 \in S_{\beta_0}(F)$. On the other hand, the condition of $L(\mathcal{O})$ -invariance of Φ is equivalent to the condition $\Phi(kg) = \Phi(g)$ for $k \in L(\mathcal{O})$. Therefore,

$$\Psi(k\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)g_0) = |\delta(g_0)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Psi(\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)).$$

It remains to notice that $\Psi(\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a))$ depends only on $|a|$ (by $L(\mathcal{O})$ -invariance of Ψ). $\square$

Proposition 3.4.2. *Let $f \in R$ be an $L(\mathcal{O})$ -invariant vector. Then there exists a function $f_{\mathbb{Z}}$ on $\mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$\overline{f}(x) = c(x)f_{\mathbb{Z}}(v(x)),$$

where $x \in C(F)$, the function $c(x)$ is given by

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} |x_0|^{-s-1}, & G = E_k, \\ |x_0|^{-1} \max(1, |\frac{x_1}{x_0}|)^{k-4}, & G = D_k, \end{cases}$$

where $s = 1, 2, 4$ for $G = E_6, E_7, E_8$.

Proof. Let $\Phi = \text{res}(f)$ be the $L(\mathcal{O})$ -invariant global section of $\mathcal{L}$ obtained from f by the construction of section 3.2. According to Proposition 3.3.1, the function $\overline{f}$ coincides with the function on Λ^0 obtained from Φ by the trivialization of $\mathcal{L}|_{\Lambda^0}$. More precisely, for $x = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \Lambda^0$ we have

$$\overline{f}(x) = f_0^*(\sigma(x)^{-1}\Phi(x)) = \Psi(\sigma(x)), \quad (3.7)$$

where Ψ is given by (3.6). Let us denote $g = \sigma(x)$, so that $x = g * e_{\beta_0}$. Applying the Iwasawa decomposition we can write $g = kt'g_0$, where $k \in L(\mathcal{O})$, $t' = \omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)$ for some $a \in F^*$, $g_0 \in S_{\beta_0}$. Moreover, we can assume that $k \in G_1(\mathcal{O})$. Indeed, we can write $k = k'\beta_0^\vee(c)$, where $k' \in G_1(\mathcal{O})$, $c \in F^*$. But

$$\beta_0^\vee(c) = \omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(c^{-1}) \cdot (\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee + \beta^\vee)(c),$$

and $(\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee + \beta^\vee)(\mathbb{G}_m) \subset S_{\beta_0}$. Applying Lemma 3.4.1, we can rewrite (3.7) as

$$\overline{f}(x) = |\delta(g_0)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Psi_{\mathbb{Z}}(v(a)).$$

It remains to find $|a|$ and $|\delta(g_0)|$ in terms of the vector x . Since the action of $L(\mathcal{O})$ on V preserves the norm, we have $|x| = |t' * e_{\beta_0}| = |a|^{-1}$, so $|a| = |x|^{-1}$. Finding $\delta(g_0)$ requires some more work.

Recall that by the definition, $g = \sigma(x)$ has form $g = ut$, where $t = \beta_0^\vee(b)$ for some $b \in F^*$, $u \in \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_1} e_\alpha(F)$. Therefore, we have

$$x_0 = x_0(ut * e_{\beta_0}) = \gamma_0^{-1}(t) = b.$$

On the other hand, applying the character $\omega : L(F) \rightarrow F^*$ to the equality $g = ut = kt'g_0$, we get

$$\omega(g_0) = \frac{b}{a^2} = x_0 \cdot |x|^2.$$

In the case $G = E_k$ this finishes the proof: since $\delta = 2(s+1)\omega$, the function $x \mapsto |\delta(g_0)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ has the required form. In the case $G = D_k$ we need in addition to compute $|\alpha_1(g_0)|$, where α_1 is considered as a character of Q_{β_0} . Since $\omega(t'g_0) = b$, we can write $t'g_0 = g't$, where $g' \in G_1 \cap Q_{\beta_0}$. Then we have the equality $u = kg'$ in G_1 . Let us denote elements of $G_1 = \text{SL}_2 \times \text{SO}(2k-4)$ as pairs $h = (h_1, h_2)$, where $h_1 \in \text{SL}_2$, $h_2 \in \text{SO}(2k-4)$. Then we have $u_1 = k_1g'_1$, $u_2 = k_2g'_2$. Recall that $V = V_1 \otimes V_2$, where V_1 and V_2 are standard representations of SL_2 and $\text{SO}(2k-4)$. Let (e_{-1}, e_1) be the standard basis of V_1 , and let ξ be the lowest weight vector in the standard basis of V_2 . Then $e_{\beta_0} = e_{-1} \otimes \xi$, $e_{\beta_1} = e_1 \otimes \xi$. Together with the equality

$$x_0^{-1}x = ue_{\beta_0} = u_1e_{-1} \otimes u_2\xi$$

this implies that

$$|u_1 e_{-1}| = \max(1, |\frac{x_1}{x_0}|).$$

On the other hand, $u_1 = k_1 g'_1$, so

$$|u_1 e_{-1}| = |g'_1 e_{-1}| = |\frac{\omega - \alpha_1}{2}(g'_1)|.$$

Therefore,

$$|\alpha_1(g')| = |\alpha_1(g'_1)| = \max(1, |\frac{x_1}{x_0}|)^{-2}.$$

It remains to apply α_1 to the equality $t'g_0 = g't$ to deduce that

$$|\alpha_1(g_0)| = |b^{-1}\alpha_1(g')| = |x_0|^{-1} \cdot \max(1, |\frac{x_1}{x_0}|)^{-2}.$$

Hence,

$$|\delta(g_0)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} = |\omega(g_0)|^{\frac{-k+2}{2}} |\alpha_1(g_0)|^{\frac{-k+4}{2}} = |x_0|^{-1} \max(1, |\frac{x_1}{x_0}|)^{k-4} \cdot |x|^{-k+2}.$$

□

3.5. Twisted case. This section will be used only for global considerations in part II.

Let R_ψ be a twisted realization of the minimal representation associated with a non-trivial character $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ (see 1.2). Then we still have a unique (up to constant) $P(F)$ -equivariant map

$$R_\psi \rightarrow \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})_\psi \quad (3.8)$$

where $H(K_v)$ acts on sections of $\mathcal{L}_v$ by the formula (3.4).

Let $\psi(t) = \psi_0(at)$, where $a \in F^*$ and ψ_0 is of order 0 and let R be the standard realization of the minimal representation associated with ψ_0 . We have a $G(F)$ -equivalence $R \rightarrow R_\psi : f \mapsto f(ay, ax_0, \dots, ax_n)$. On the other hand, the action of $\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a^{-1}) \in Z(L)(F)$ gives an equivalence of $P(F)$ -representations $\Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})_{\psi_0} \rightarrow \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})_\psi$. We can normalize the map (3.8) above by requiring the following diagram to be commutative (the normalized map is denoted by res_ψ):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\quad} & R_\psi \\ \downarrow \text{res} & & \downarrow \text{res}_\psi \\ \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})_{\psi_0} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})_\psi \end{array} \quad (3.9)$$

Equivalently, for every $f \in R_v$ we have

$$\text{res}_\psi(f)(a^{-1}e_{\beta_0}) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} f(y, a^{-1}, 0) \cdot \omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)(1),$$

where the map $\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a) : \mathcal{L}_v|_{e_{\beta_0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_v|_{a^{-1}e_{\beta_0}}$ is given by $L(F)$ -equivariant structure on $\mathcal{L}$, the element $1 \in \mathcal{L}_{e_{\beta_0}}$ corresponds to the canonical trivialization of $\mathcal{L}_{e_{\beta_0}}$.

Let us compute $\text{res}_\psi(f)(e_{\beta_0})$ for $f \in R_v$. For every $s \in \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ we have

$$\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)(s(e_{\beta_0})) = (\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)s)(a^{-1}e_{\beta_0}).$$

Applying this to $s = \text{res}_\psi(f)$ and using the $L(F)$ -equivariance of res_ψ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)(\text{res}_\psi(f)(e_{\beta_0})) &= \text{res}_\psi(\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)f)(a^{-1}e_{\beta_0}) = \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} (\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)f)(y, a^{-1}, 0) \cdot \omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)(1) &= |a|^{\frac{n+3}{2}} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} f(a^2y, 1, 0) \cdot \omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\text{res}_\psi(f)(e_{\beta_0}) = |a|^{\frac{n+3}{2}} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} f(y, 1, 0). \quad (3.10)$$

4. ACTION OF THE AFFINE HECKE ALGEBRA

4.1. Lusztig's representation. It is known (see [13]) that the representation of $G(F)$ on the space R is equivalent to the spherical representation $J(\chi_{sr})$ with the Satake parameter χ_{sr} corresponding to the subregular unipotent orbit in the Langlands dual group ${}^L G$.

Let $I \subset G(F)$ be the Iwahori subgroup. Then R^I is a representation of the affine Hecke algebra $\tilde{H}$ of I -biinvariant compactly supported functions on $G(F)$. It is equivalent to the representation of $\tilde{H}$ on $J(\chi_{sr})^I$ which was described by Lusztig in [10]. Below we are going to recall some details of this description.

Let $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta \cup \{-\omega\}$ be the set of vertices of the affine Dynkin diagram of G (thought of as roots of G). Recall that $\tilde{H}$ is generated by elements $(T_\alpha, \alpha \in \tilde{\Delta})$ with the defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} T_\alpha T_\beta &= T_\beta T_\alpha \text{ if } \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0, \\ T_\alpha T_\beta T_\alpha &= T_\beta T_\alpha T_\beta \text{ if } \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = -1, \\ (T_\alpha - q)(T_\alpha + 1) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lusztig has shown in [10] that the representation of $\tilde{H}$ on $J(\chi_{sr})^I$ is equivalent to the representation of $\tilde{H}$ on the space

$$\tilde{E} = \oplus_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}} \mathbb{C} e_\alpha$$

given by the formulas

$$T_\alpha e_\beta = \begin{cases} -e_\beta & \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \\ qe_\beta + q^{\frac{1}{2}}e_\alpha & \text{if } \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = -1, \\ qe_\beta & \text{if } \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0. \end{cases}$$

The important part of the work [10] is the study of the action of Bernstein's elements in $\tilde{H}$ on $\tilde{E}$. Recall that for every simple root $\alpha \in \Delta$ one defines an element $T^\alpha \in \tilde{H}$ as the characteristic function of the double coset $I\omega_\alpha^\vee(\pi)I \subset G(F)$, where $\pi \in \mathcal{O}$ is a uniformizer. It is known that these elements commute between themselves. One can also describe some commutation relations between the elements T_α and T^β . We will only need the following simple relation:

$$T_\alpha T^\beta = T^\beta T_\alpha \text{ if } \alpha(\omega_\beta^\vee) = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Lusztig describes an explicit basis in $\tilde{E}$ with respect to which the action of the elements T^α is almost diagonal. More precisely, let α_b be the simple root corresponding to the branch point of the Dynkin diagram of G (recall that G is assumed to be either of type D or of type E). Then according to Theorem 4.7 of [10], the action of T^α on $\tilde{E}$ is semisimple for all $\alpha \neq \alpha_b$. To describe the eigenvalues of T^α computed in *loc. cit.* we need to introduce some notation. For every $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$

one defines an integer $\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ using the following procedure. First we define the homomorphism $\lambda_b : P \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, where P is the coweight lattice by its values on simple coroots:

$$\lambda_b(\alpha^\vee) = \begin{cases} 1, & \alpha \neq \alpha_b, \\ 0, & \alpha = \alpha_b. \end{cases}$$

Next we set

$$\lambda(\alpha_b, \beta) = \lambda_b(\omega_\beta^\vee).$$

Finally, for every $\alpha \neq \alpha_b$ we define

$$\lambda(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} \lambda(\alpha_b, \beta) - d(\alpha_b, \beta), & \text{if } \beta \text{ belongs to the geodesic from } \alpha_b \text{ to } \alpha, \\ \lambda(\alpha_b, \beta), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $d(\alpha_b, \beta)$ is the distance between the vertices α_b and β on the Dynkin diagram. Let ρ denotes the half-sum of all positive roots of G . Then the part of Theorem 4.7 of [10] that we need can be summarized as follows.

Theorem 4.1.1. *For every $\beta \in \Delta$ the eigenvalues of $q^{-\rho(\omega_\beta^\vee)}T^\beta$ acting on $\tilde{E}$ are $(q^{\lambda(\alpha, \beta)}, \alpha \in \Delta)$, where $q^{\lambda(\alpha_b, \beta)}$ is taken twice. For $\beta \neq \alpha_b$ the action of T^β on $\tilde{E}$ is semisimple.*

Corollary 4.1.2. *For $G = E_k$ the eigenvalues of T^{β_0} acting on $\tilde{E}$ are $q^{2(n+1)-s}$ with multiplicity 2 and $q^{2(n+1)-2s}$ with multiplicity $k-1$ (where $n = 6s+3$). For $G = D_k$ these eigenvalues are $q^{2(n+1)}$ and $q^{2(n+1)+4-k}$ (where $n = 2k-5$). For $G \neq D_4$ the action of T^{β_0} on $\tilde{E}$ is semisimple.*

Proof. This follows from the above Theorem and from the equality $\rho(\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee) = n+2$. $\square$

4.2. The form of the spherical vector. In this section we are going to combine the above information on R^I with Proposition 3.4.2 to derive the specific form of the spherical vector in the standard representation (with two unknown constants).

First of all, we claim that the spherical vector $f_0 \in R$ is uniquely determined by $\overline{f_0}$. Indeed, we have

$$f_0(y, x_0, x) = \psi(-\frac{I(x)}{x_0 y}) \overline{f_0}(x_0, x, \text{grad}(\frac{I(x)}{x_0})),$$

provided $x_0 \neq 0$ and $|y| \leq |x_0|$. Since f_0 is also invariant with respect to the operator A , we obtain that f_0 can be uniquely recovered from $\overline{f_0}$. Therefore, in order to find the spherical vector f_0 it suffices to determine $\overline{f_0}$. Let us normalize f_0 by the condition $\overline{f_0}(1, 0, \dots, 0) = 1$.

Theorem 4.2.1. *For $G = E_k$, one has*

$$\overline{f_0}(v) = |x_0|^{-s-1} \cdot \frac{1 + a|v|^{-s}}{1 + a} \cdot \delta_{C(\mathcal{O})},$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$. For $G = D_k$ with $k \geq 5$ one has

$$\overline{f_0}(v) = |x_0|^{-1} \max(1, |\frac{x_1}{x_0}|)^{k-4} \cdot \frac{1 + a|v|^{-k+4}}{1 + a} \cdot \delta_{C(\mathcal{O})}$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$. In both these formulas v is an element of $\Lambda^0(F)$.

Proof. Let us consider the action of the double coset $T^{\beta_0} = IzI$ on R^I , where $z = \omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(\pi)$. We claim that for every $f \in R^I$ one has

$$\overline{T^{\beta_0} f}(v) = q^{2(n+2) - \frac{n+3}{2}} \overline{f}(\pi v) \cdot \delta_{C(\mathcal{O})}(v),$$

Indeed, by Lemma 3.2.1, we have

$$zf(v) = q^{-\frac{n+3}{2}} \overline{f}(\pi v).$$

Consider the decomposition $I = H(\mathcal{O})B(\mathcal{O})U^-(\pi\mathcal{O})$, where $U^- \subset G$ is the subgroup spanned by one-parametric subgroups corresponding to negative roots. Since z commutes with $B(\mathcal{O})$ and $U^-(\pi\mathcal{O})z \subset zU^-(\pi\mathcal{O})$, we get $IzI = H(\mathcal{O})zI$. More precisely, for $h \in H(\mathcal{O})$ we have $hzI = zI$ if and only if $h \in H' \subset H(\mathcal{O})$, where

$$H' = e_\omega(\pi^2\mathcal{O}) \prod_i e_{\beta_i}(\pi\mathcal{O}) e_{\gamma_i}(\pi\mathcal{O}).$$

When defining the action of T^{β_0} on R^I we normalize the measure on I in such a way that $\text{vol}(I) = 1$. Hence,

$$\overline{T^{\beta_0} f} = \sum_{h \in H(\mathcal{O})/H'} \overline{hzf}.$$

Recalling the explicit formulas for the action of $H(\mathcal{O})$ and z on $\mathbb{C}(\Lambda^0(F))$ we obtain

$$\overline{T^{\beta_0} f}(v) = q^{2 - \frac{n+3}{2}} \sum_{v' \in V(\mathcal{O})/V(\pi\mathcal{O})} \psi(v \cdot v') \overline{f}(\pi v)$$

(note that since f is $H(\mathcal{O})$ -invariant, $\overline{f}$ is supported on $C(\mathcal{O})$, so this sum is well-defined). Since sums over v' are zero unless $v \in C(\mathcal{O})$ we get the required formula.

According to Corollary 4.1.2 T^{β_0} acts on R^I semisimply with eigenvalues $q^{2(n+1)-s}$ and $q^{2(n+1)-2s}$ in the case $G = E_k$, resp. $q^{2(n+1)}$ and $q^{2(n+1)+4-k}$ in the case $G = D_k$, $k \geq 5$. Furthermore, since $\alpha(\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee) = 0$ for every simple root $\alpha \neq \beta_0$, by (4.1) the action of T^{β_0} on R^I commutes with the action of the finite Hecke algebra associated with G_1 . It follows that T^{β_0} preserves the subspace in R^I consisting of $L(\mathcal{O})$ -invariant vectors.

Let us consider the case $G = E_k$. Then we can write the spherical vector in the form $f_0 = f_1 + f_2$, where f_i are $L(\mathcal{O})$ -invariant vectors and $T^{\beta_0} f_i = q^{2(n+1)-is} f_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore,

$$q^{2(n+2) - \frac{n+3}{2}} \overline{f_i}(\pi v) \cdot \delta_{C(\mathcal{O})}(v) = q^{2(n+1)-is} \overline{f_i},$$

where $i = 1, 2$. Now we observe that for every $u \in \mathbb{C}$ there is a unique (up to scalar factor) function ϕ_u on $C(F)$ supported on $C(\mathcal{O})$, such that $\phi_u(v)$ depends only on $|v|$ and

$$\phi_u(\pi v) \cdot \delta_{C(\mathcal{O})}(v) = q^{-u} \phi_u(v),$$

namely, $\phi_u(v) = |v|^u \cdot \delta_{C(\mathcal{O})}$. Applying Proposition 3.4.2 (and the fact that $n = 6s+3$), we deduce that the function $|x_0|^{s+1} \overline{f_0}$ is a linear combination of the constant function $\delta_{C(\mathcal{O})}$ and of $|v|^{-s} \delta_{C(\mathcal{O})}$. Looking at the explicit description of the Hecke algebra representation R^I one can observe that all the coefficients of this linear combination are non-zero. Similar argument works in the case of $G = D_k$. $\square$

5. FOURIER TRANSFORM OVER FINITE FIELD

In this section we determine the constant a from Theorem 4.2.1. Note that for every $l \geq 0$ the function $f_0(\pi^l, x_0, \dots, x_n)$ is supported on the set where $x_i \in \mathcal{O}$ and depends only on $x_0, \dots, x_n$ modulo π^l . Therefore, we can consider it as a function f_{π^l} on $(\mathcal{O}/\pi^l \mathcal{O})^{n+1}$. Now the invariance of f_0 with respect to the operator S implies that f_{π^l} is self-dual with respect to the finite Fourier transform defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\pi^l}(f)(x) = q^{-\frac{(n+1)l}{2}} \sum_{x' \in (\mathcal{O}/\pi^l \mathcal{O})^{n+1}} \psi\left(\frac{x \cdot x'}{\pi^l}\right) f(x').$$

This equality imposes linear equations on the constant a . Solving them we can find this constant. More precisely, we will determine a from the condition $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(f_{\pi}) = f_{\pi}$. Note that for $|x_0| = 1$ we have

$$f_{\pi^l}(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \psi\left(-\frac{I(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{x_0 \pi^l}\right).$$

In fact, for $l = 1$ the function f_{π} is the unique Fourier self-dual extension of $\psi(-\frac{I}{x_0 \pi})$ to $(\mathcal{O}/\pi \mathcal{O})^{n+1}$. For $l > 1$ the Fourier self-dual extension of $\psi(-\frac{I}{x_0 \pi})$ is not necessarily unique. However, if we already know functions f_{π^k} for $k < l$, then we can characterize f_{π^l} uniquely as a Fourier self-dual function on $(\mathcal{O}/\pi^l \mathcal{O})^{n+1}$, such that for every k , $0 \leq k < l$, one has

$$f_{\pi^l}(u\pi^k, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \psi\left(-\frac{I(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{u\pi^{k+l}}\right) f_{\pi^k}(0, x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where $u \in \mathcal{O}^*$, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{O}/\pi^l \mathcal{O}$.

We conjecture that the functions f_{π^l} are obtained from the Goresky-MacPherson extensions of the perverse sheaves over finite field corresponding to functions $\psi(-\frac{I}{x_0 \pi^l})$.

5.1. Case of $G = E_k$. According to Theorem 4.2.1 we have the following formula for the function f_{π} on $(\mathcal{O}/\pi \mathcal{O})^{n+1}$:

$$f_{\pi}(x_0, x) = \begin{cases} \psi\left(-\frac{I(x)}{x_0 \pi}\right), & x_0 \neq 0, \\ q^{s+1}, & x_0 = 0, I'_3(x) = 0, x \neq 0, \\ q^{s+1} \cdot \frac{1+aq^s}{1+a}, & x_0 = x = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

where we denote $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Now from the equations

$$\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(f_{\pi})(1, 0, \dots, 0) = f_{\pi}(1, 0, \dots, 0) = 1,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(f_{\pi})(0, \dots, 0) = f_{\pi}(0, \dots, 0) = q^{s+1} \cdot \frac{1+aq^s}{1+a}$$

we get

$$\sum_{x_0 \neq 0, x} \psi\left(-\frac{I(x)}{x_0 \pi} + \frac{x_0}{\pi}\right) + q^{s+1} \text{card}\{x \neq 0 : I'_3(x) = 0\} + q^{s+1} \cdot \frac{1+aq^s}{1+a} = q^{3s+2}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\sum_{x_0 \neq 0, x} \psi\left(-\frac{I(x)}{x_0 \pi}\right) + q^{s+1} \text{card}\{x \neq 0 : I'_3(x) = 0\} + q^{s+1} \cdot \frac{1+aq^s}{1+a} = q^{3s+2} \cdot q^{s+1} \cdot \frac{1+aq^s}{1+a}$$

(we used the equality $n = 6s + 3$). Taking the difference, we obtain the following equation for a :

$$q^{3s+2}(q^{s+1} \cdot \frac{1+aq^s}{1+a} - 1) = \sum_{x_0 \neq 0, x} \psi(-\frac{I(x)}{x_0\pi}) - \sum_{x_0 \neq 0, x} \psi(-\frac{I(x)}{x_0\pi} + \frac{x_0}{\pi}). \quad (5.3)$$

Now we observe that for $i \neq 0$ we have

$$\langle \gamma_0, \beta_i \rangle = \langle \omega, \beta_i \rangle - \langle \beta_0, \beta_i \rangle = 0,$$

hence by Lemma 1.1.5,

$$I(\beta_i^\vee(x_0)x) = x_0 I(x).$$

Therefore, making the change of variables $(x_0, x) \mapsto (x_0, \beta_i^\vee(x_0)x)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x_0 \neq 0, x} \psi(-\frac{I(x)}{x_0\pi} + \frac{x_0}{\pi}) &= \sum_{x_0 \neq 0, x} \psi(-\frac{I(x)}{\pi} + \frac{x_0}{\pi}) = - \sum_x \psi(-\frac{I(x)}{\pi}) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{x_0 \neq 0, x} \psi(-\frac{I(x)x_0}{\pi}) \end{aligned}$$

(in the last equality we used the same change of variables again). On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x_0 \neq 0, x} \psi(-\frac{I(x)}{x_0\pi}) &= \sum_{x_0 \neq 0, x} \psi(-\frac{I(x)x_0}{\pi}) = \\ (q-1) \cdot \text{card}\{x : I(x) = 0\} - \text{card}\{x : I(x) \neq 0\} &= (q-1)q^{6s+3} - q \cdot \text{card}\{x : I(x) \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can rewrite the RHS of (5.3) as

$$(1 + \frac{1}{q-1}) \sum_{x_0 \neq 0, x} \psi(-\frac{I(x)x_0}{\pi}) = q^{6s+4} - \frac{q^2}{q-1} \cdot \text{card}\{x : I(x) \neq 0\}.$$

It remains to compute the cardinality of the set $U = \{x \in (\mathcal{O}/\pi\mathcal{O})^n : I(x) \neq 0\}$. In the case $G = E_6$, this is the set of invertible 3×3 -matrices with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}/\pi\mathcal{O}$. Hence, in this case

$$\text{card } U = (q^3 - 1)(q^2 - 1)(q - 1)q^3.$$

For $G = E_7$, U is the set of non-degenerate skew-symmetric 6×6 -matrices with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}/\pi\mathcal{O}$, so

$$\text{card } U = \frac{\text{card GL}_6(\mathcal{O}/\pi\mathcal{O})}{\text{card Sp}_6(\mathcal{O}/\pi\mathcal{O})} = \frac{(q^6 - 1) \dots (q - 1)q^{15}}{(q^6 - 1)(q^4 - 1)(q^2 - 1)q^9} = (q^5 - 1)(q^3 - 1)(q - 1)q^6.$$

Finally, for $G = E_8$, U is the orbit of $(\mathbb{G}_m \times E_6)(\mathcal{O}/\pi\mathcal{O})$, and the stabilizer is $F_4(\mathcal{O}/\pi\mathcal{O})$, so in this case

$$\begin{aligned} \text{card } U &= \frac{\text{card}(\mathbb{G}_m \times E_6)(\mathcal{O}/\pi\mathcal{O})}{\text{card } F_4(\mathcal{O}/\pi\mathcal{O})} = \\ &= \frac{(q-1)(q^{12}-1)(q^9-1)(q^8-1)(q^6-1)(q^5-1)(q^2-1)q^{36}}{(q^{12}-1)(q^8-1)(q^6-1)(q^2-1)q^{24}} = (q^9-1)(q^5-1)(q-1)q^{12}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the formula for the number of elements of finite Chevalley groups from [15], §9. In all cases, the equation (5.3) reduces to

$$q^{s+1} \frac{1+aq^s}{1+a} = q^{s+1} + q^{2s+1},$$

which implies that $a = -q^s$.

Note that the formula for $\text{card } U$ can be written uniformly as

$$\text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I(x) \neq 0\} = q^{3s}(q^{2s+1} - 1)(q^{s+1} - 1)(q - 1). \quad (5.4)$$

On the other hand, the above equations imply that

$$\sum_{x_0 \neq 0, x} \psi\left(-\frac{I(x)}{x_0 \pi} + \frac{x_0}{\pi}\right) = q^{3s+1}(1 - q^{2s+1} - q^{s+1}).$$

Substituting this into equation (5.2) with $a = -q^s$ we deduce the following formula:

$$\text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : x \neq 0, I'_3(x) = 0\} = (q^{2s+1} - 1)(q^{2s} + q^s + 1). \quad (5.5)$$

We will need the formulas (5.4) and (5.5) later in some global calculations.

5.2. Case of $G = D_k$, $k \geq 5$. Recall that in the case $G = D_k$ we have $I(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 Q(x)$, where Q is a non-degenerate quadratic form in $x = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$ (where $n = 2k - 5$). From Theorem 4.2.1 we get the following formula for f_π :

$$f_\pi(x_0, x_1, x) = \begin{cases} \psi\left(-\frac{x_1 Q(x)}{x_0 \pi}\right), & x_0 \neq 0, \\ q^{k-3}, & x_0 = 0, x_1 \neq 0, x = 0, \\ q, & x_0 = x_1 = 0, Q(x) = 0, x \neq 0, \\ q \cdot \frac{1+aq^{k-4}}{1+a}, & x_0 = x_1 = 0, x \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

From the equation $\mathcal{F}_\pi(f_\pi)(1, 0, \dots, 0) = 1$ we obtain

$$\sum_{x_0 \neq 0, x_1, x} \psi\left(-\frac{x_1 Q(x)}{x_0 \pi} + \frac{x_0}{\pi}\right) + q^{k-3}(q-1) + q \text{card}\{x \neq 0 : Q(x) = 0\} + q \cdot \frac{1+aq^{k-4}}{1+a} = q^{k-2}. \quad (5.7)$$

Making the change of variables $x_1 \mapsto x_1 x_0$ we can rewrite the first sum in the LHS as

$$\sum_{x_0 \neq 0, x_1, x} \psi\left(-\frac{x_1 Q(x)}{\pi} + \frac{x_0}{\pi}\right) = - \sum_{x_1, x} \psi\left(-\frac{x_1 Q(x)}{\pi}\right) = -q \cdot \text{card}\{x : Q(x) = 0\}.$$

Hence, the equation (5.7) is equivalent to

$$-q + q^{k-3}(q-1) + q \cdot \frac{1+aq^{k-4}}{1+a} = q^{k-2},$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{1+aq^{k-4}}{1+a} = 1 + q^{k-4}.$$

Therefore, $a = -q^{k-4}$.

6. STRUCTURE OF THE MINIMAL REPRESENTATION REVISITED

In this section we apply our techniques to obtain a complete description of the space of smooth vectors in the minimal representation. In the case of series E_k the main results of this section, Theorems 6.1.1 and 6.2.2, were proven by Magaard and Savin in [11].

6.1. $Z(H)(F)$ -coinvariants and the line bundle on $C(F)$. Recall that we denote by $R' \subset R$ the subspace of locally constant functions with compact support contained in $\{y \neq 0\}$. Let R_0 be the space of $Z(H)(F)$ -coinvariants on R . We have a natural surjective map $R_0 \rightarrow R/R'$.

Theorem 6.1.1. *Assume that $G \neq D_4$. Then the natural map $R_0 \rightarrow R/R'$ is an isomorphism and the map $\text{res} : R_0 \rightarrow \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ constructed in 3.2, is an embedding.*

Proof. Let us denote by $\mathcal{S}(C(F), \mathcal{L}) \subset \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ the subspace of locally constant sections with compact support in $C(F)$. Then the composition of the map $\text{res} : R \rightarrow \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ with the projection $\Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})/\mathcal{S}(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ factors through the coinvariants of $H(F)$ in R . Thus, we get the following commutative diagram of $L(F)$ -representations

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 J(R) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\text{res}}} & \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})/\mathcal{S}(C(F), \mathcal{L})
 \end{array} \tag{6.1}$$

where $J(R)$ denotes the space of coinvariants of $H(F)$ in R (this is the unnormalized Jacquet functor of R corresponding to our maximal parabolic subgroup P).

Assume first that $G = E_n$. Then we can use the fact that $J(R)$ is the direct sum of two distinct irreducible representations of $G_1(F)$: the trivial representation and the minimal representation (see [13]). We claim that the map $\overline{\text{res}}$ is not zero on both these summands. Indeed, as we have seen in the proof of Theorem 4.2.1, we can write the spherical vector f_0 in the form $f_0 = f_1 + f_2$, such that $f_1, f_2 \in R^I$ (where I is the Iwahori subgroup of $G(F)$), $T^{\beta_0} f_1 = q^{11s+8} f_1$ and $T^{\beta_0} f_2 = q^{10s+8} f_2$. Note that the above decomposition of $J(R)$ as $G_1(F)$ -module corresponds to the decomposition of R^I into eigenspaces of T^{β_0} . Therefore, our claim follows from the fact that the images of f_1 and f_2 in $\Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})/\mathcal{S}(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ are non-trivial. For $G = D_k$ with $k \geq 5$, $J(R)$ is still the direct sum of the trivial and of the minimal representations of $G_1(F)$. However, in this case the minimal representation of $G_1(F)$ is the direct sum of two irreducible representations, namely, the minimal representations of the simple factors of G_1 . Let us write the spherical vector f_0 in the form $f_0 = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$ where $f_i \in R^I$, $i = 1, 2, 3$; f_1 projects to the component of $J(R)$ corresponding to the trivial representation of $G_1(F)$, f_2 to the minimal representation of $D_{k-2}(F)$ and f_3 to the Steinberg representation of $\text{SL}_2(F)$. The Hecke operator T^{β_0} acts on these components as follows: $T^{\beta_0} f_1 = q^{3k-4} f_1$, $T^{\beta_0} f_2 = q^{4k-8} f_2$, $T^{\beta_0} f_3 = q^{3k-4} f_3$. Similar argument as above shows that $\overline{\text{res}}(f_2) \neq 0$ and $\overline{\text{res}}(f_1 + f_3) \neq 0$. To prove that $\overline{\text{res}}(f_1) \neq 0$ and $\overline{\text{res}}(f_3) \neq 0$ we need to consider the action of the Hecke operator $T^{\alpha_1} = Iz_1I$, where $z_1 = \omega_{\alpha_1}^\vee(\pi)$. Namely, using Theorem 4.7 of [10], it is easy to show that $T^{\alpha_1} f_2 = q^{3k-5} f_2$ and that f_1 and f_3 belong to a 2-dimensional subspace (v_1, v_2) of R^I such that $T^{\alpha_1} v_1 = q^{2k-2} v_1$, $T^{\alpha_1} v_2 = q^{3k-5} v_2$. Thus, it suffices to prove linear independence of $\overline{\text{res}}[(T^{\alpha_1} - q^{3k-5})(T^{\beta_0} - q^{4k-8})f_0]$ and $\overline{\text{res}}[(T^{\alpha_1} - q^{2k-2})(T^{\beta_0} - q^{4k-8})f_0]$. Set

$f = (T^{\beta_0} - q^{4k-8})f_0$. Using the formula for $\overline{f_0}$ we easily get

$$\overline{f} = q^{3k-4}|x_0|^{-1} \max(1, |\frac{x_1}{x_0}|)^{k-4} \cdot \delta_{C(\mathcal{O})}.$$

We have

$$T^{\alpha_1} f = \sum_{h \in H'(\mathcal{O})/H'(\pi\mathcal{O}), t \in \mathcal{O}/\pi\mathcal{O}} h \cdot e_{\alpha_1}(t) z_1 f,$$

where $H' = e_{\omega}(\mathbb{G}_m) \cdot e_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{G}_m) \cdot e_{\beta_1}(\mathbb{G}_m) \cdot \prod_{i>1} e_{\gamma_i}(\mathbb{G}_m)$. An easy computation similar to the one in the proof of Theorem 4.2.1 shows that for $|x_1| < |\pi x_0|$ one has

$$\overline{T^{\alpha_1} f} = (q^{2k-3} - q^{2k-4} + q^k)|x_0|^{-1} \cdot \delta_{C(\mathcal{O})}$$

while for $|x_1| > |x_0|$ one has

$$\overline{T^{\alpha_1} f} = q^{2k-3} \cdot |x_0|^{3-k} \cdot |x_1|^{k-4} \cdot \delta_{C(\mathcal{O})}.$$

Let us denote $f' = (T^{\alpha_1} - q^{3k-5})f$, $f'' = (T^{\alpha_1} - q^{2k-2})f$. Then for $|x_1| < |\pi x_0|$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{f'} &= (-q^{3k-5} + q^{2k-3} - q^{2k-4} + q^k)|x_0|^{-1} \cdot \delta_{C(\mathcal{O})}, \\ \overline{f''} &= (-q^{2k-2} + q^{2k-3} - q^{2k-4} + q^k)|x_0|^{-1} \cdot \delta_{C(\mathcal{O})}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $|x_1| > |x_0|$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{f'} &= (-q^{3k-5} + q^{2k-3})|x_0|^{3-k} \cdot |x_1|^{k-4} \cdot \delta_{C(\mathcal{O})}, \\ \overline{f''} &= (-q^{2k-2} + q^{2k-3})|x_0|^{3-k} \cdot |x_1|^{k-4} \cdot \delta_{C(\mathcal{O})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the asymptotics of $|x_0|^{-1}$ and of $|x_0|^{3-k} \cdot |x_1|^{k-4}$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ in either of the above two regions are linearly independent and the determinant

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} (-q^{3k-5} + q^{2k-3} - q^{2k-4} + q^k) & (-q^{3k-5} + q^{2k-3}) \\ (-q^{2k-2} + q^{2k-3} - q^{2k-4} + q^k) & (-q^{2k-2} + q^{2k-3}) \end{pmatrix} = (q^k - q^{2k-4})(q^{3k-5} - q^{2k-2})$$

is non-zero (recall that $k \geq 5$), we deduce that $\overline{\text{res}}(f')$ and $\overline{\text{res}}(f'')$ are linearly independent.

It follows that in any case the map $\overline{\text{res}}$ is an embedding. Also, it is clear that the map

$$R_0 \rightarrow J(R) \oplus \prod_{v \in V(F) \setminus 0} R(v)$$

is an embedding. This immediately implies that the map $\text{res} : R_0 \rightarrow \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ is injective. Therefore, the map $R_0 \rightarrow R/R'$ is also injective. Being surjective it must be an isomorphism. $\square$

6.2. Structure of $Z(H)(F)$ -coinvariants.

Lemma 6.2.1. *Every locally constant function with compact support on $\Lambda^0(F)$ has form $\overline{f}$ for some $f \in R$.*

Proof. Let h be a function with compact support on $\Lambda^0(F)$. Set

$$f(y, x_0, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} \psi(-\frac{I(x)}{x_0 y}) h(x_0, \dots, x_n), & |y| \leq |x_0|, \\ 0 & |y| > |x_0|. \end{cases}$$

Then Af has compact support in $F^* \times F^{n+1}$, so by Lemma 1.1.2, $Af \in R'$, hence $f \in R$. On the other hand, clearly we have $h = \overline{f}$. $\square$

Let us denote by $\Gamma_{\text{smooth}}(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ the space of locally constant sections of $\mathcal{L}$. Recall that we denote by $\mathcal{S}(C(F), \mathcal{L}) \subset \Gamma_{\text{smooth}}(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ the subspace of sections with compact support in $\mathbb{C}(F)$.

Theorem 6.2.2. *Assume that $G \neq D_4$. Then R_0 can be identified with an $L(F)$ -submodule in the space $\Gamma_{\text{smooth}}(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ containing $\mathcal{S}(C(F), \mathcal{L})$. In the case $G = E_n$ we have an exact sequence of $L(F)$ -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(C(F), \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow R_0 \rightarrow J(R) = \mathbb{C}(|\omega|^{s+1}) \oplus R_{G_1}(|\omega|^{\frac{s}{2}+1}) \rightarrow 0$$

where R_{G_1} is the minimal representation of G_1 , $J(R)$ are coinvariants of $H(F)$ on R . In the case $G = D_k$ with $k \geq 5$ there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(C(F), \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow R_0 \rightarrow J(R) = \mathbb{C}(|\omega|^{\frac{k}{2}-1}) \oplus R_{\text{SL}_2}(|\omega|^{\frac{k}{2}-1}) \oplus R_{D_{k-2}}(|\omega|) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Lemma 6.2.1 implies that $\mathcal{S}(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ is indeed a submodule of R_0 . We claim that quotient $R_0/\mathcal{S}(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ coincides with the space of coinvariants of $V(F)$ on R_0 . Indeed, by Lemma 1.1.2(a) for every $f \in R_0$ and every linear functional v^* on V one has $\psi(v^*)f - f \in \mathcal{S}(C(F), \mathcal{L})$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that there are no coinvariants for the action of $V(F)$ on $\mathcal{S}(C(F), \mathcal{L})$. This proves our claim. Therefore, the quotient $R_0/\mathcal{S}(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ coincides with the Jacquet functor of R , $J(R)$. Now we obtain the required exact sequences (not taking into account the action of $Z(L)$) from the known decomposition of $J(R)$ into irreducible $G_1(F)$ -modules (see [13]). The action of the center of Levi on $J(R)$ is determined using the information about the action of T^{β_0} on R^I and the explicit form of the spherical vector f_0 from Theorem 4.2.1. Indeed, assume for example that G is of type E_n . Then we know that $f_0 = f_1 + f_2$, where f_1 and f_2 are nonzero vectors in R^I such that $T^{\beta_0}f_1 = q^{11s+8}f_1$ and $T^{\beta_0}f_2 = q^{10s+8}f_2$. Furthermore, the function $\overline{f}_1$ is proportional to $|x_0|^{-s-1}\delta_{C(\mathcal{O})}$, while $\overline{f}_2$ is proportional to $|x_0|^{-s-1}|v|^{-s}\delta_{C(\mathcal{O})}$. Considering asymptotics at the vertex of the cone C we obtain that

$$\overline{zf}_1 \equiv q^{-2s-2}\overline{f}_1 \pmod{\mathcal{S}(C(F), \mathcal{L})},$$

$$\overline{zf}_2 \equiv q^{-s-2}\overline{f}_2 \pmod{\mathcal{S}(C(F), \mathcal{L})}.$$

Since f_1 (resp., f_2) projects to a non-zero vector in the trivial (resp., non-trivial) component of $J(R)$, this proves our claim. The case of the series D is similar. $\square$

For every $a \in F$ let us denote by R_a the quotient

$$R_a = R/\{e_\omega(t)f - \psi(at)f \mid f \in R, t \in F\}$$

(for $a = 0$ this is just the space of $Z(H)(F)$ -coinvariants considered above). The above Theorem can be complemented by the following result.

Proposition 6.2.3. *For $a \in F^*$ the representation of $H(F)$ on R_a is irreducible.*

Proof. Lemma 1.1.2 implies that the map $f(y, x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f(a, x_0, \dots, x_n)$ induces an isomorphism of R_a with the space of locally constant functions with constant support on $\Lambda(F)$. Furthermore, the action of $H(F)$ on R_a is compatible with the standard Schrödinger representation of $H(F)$ associated with the character $\psi(a \cdot ?)$ on F , which is known to be irreducible. $\square$

7. ARCHIMEDIAN CASE

Some of the results described in sections 1-6 have analogues for real and complex groups. The minimal unitary representation in these cases is given by the same formulas where ψ gets replaced by the standard additive character $x \mapsto \exp(\pi i x)$ for $F = \mathbb{R}$ and by $z \mapsto \exp(\pi i(z + \bar{z}))$ for $F = \mathbb{C}$. Note that for every subgroup $G' \subset G$ the ring $\mathcal{S}(G'(F))$ of Schwartz functions on $G'(F)$ (with convolution as a product) acts naturally on the space of smooth vectors R . We denote by $\varphi * v \in R$ the result of action of a Schwartz function φ on $v \in R$. By irreducibility of some smooth representation of $G'(F)$ we always mean the absence of non-trivial proper $\mathcal{S}(G'(F))$ -invariant closed subspaces.

Of course, when formulating archimedean analogues of our results one should replace locally constant functions by C^∞ -functions. Another important change is that one has to impose certain growth conditions when considering functionals on R . Namely, let $G' \subset G$ be a subgroup. Then we say that a linear functional $f^* : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is G' -tempered if for every $f \in R$ the functional $\varphi \mapsto f^*(\varphi * f)$ on $\mathcal{S}(G'(F))$ is continuous. This is equivalent to the condition that the functional f^* is continuous on R with respect to the topology defined by the collection of norms $\|f\|_u = \|uf\|$, where $u \in U(\mathfrak{g}')$ (where $\mathfrak{g}'$ is the Lie algebra of $G'(F)$). Dually we have to change the notion of twisted coinvariants. The correct replacement for the maximal quotient of R on which $G'(F)$ acts by some character $\chi : G'(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is

$$J_{G', \chi}(R) := R / (\varphi * f - \int_{g' \in G'} \chi(g') \varphi(g') f)$$

where f runs through R , φ runs through the Schwartz space of $G'(F)$, (S) denotes the closure of the span of the set of vectors S . We have to use such quotients when defining archimedean analogues of the spaces $R(v)$ and R_0 , or the space of coinvariants of $H(F)$ on R . Note that if a functional f^* is G' -tempered and satisfies $f^*(sv) = \chi(s)f^*(v)$ for some character χ of $G'(F)$ then f^* descends to a functional on the space $J_{G', \chi}(R)$.

We refer to [8] for the derivation of the formula for the spherical vector in R in the cases $F = \mathbb{R}$ or $F = \mathbb{C}$. Below we will consider analogues of some of the results of sections 1, 3 and 6.

7.1. Smooth vectors and the $L(F)$ -equivariant line bundle. Let us start by formulating analogues of parts (a) and (b) of Lemma 1.1.2.

Lemma 7.1.1. (a) For every $f \in R$ and every differential operator D in $(y, x_0, \dots, x_n)$ with constant coefficients, one has $D(f) \in R$.
(b) For every differential operator D as above and every N one has $D(f)|(y, x_0, \dots, x_n)|^N \rightarrow 0$ as $|(y, x_0, \dots, x_n)| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. This follows easily from the formula for the spherical vector obtained in [8] and from the fact that every smooth vector can be obtained from the spherical vector by the action of $U(\mathfrak{p})$, the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra of P . $\square$

The following proposition is an analogue of part (c) of Lemma 1.1.2.

Proposition 7.1.2. Let R_∞ denote the space of all C^∞ -functions f on $F^* \times F^{n+1}$ such that $D(f)|(y^{-1}, y, x_0, \dots, x_n)|^N \rightarrow 0$ as $|(y^{-1}, y, x_0, \dots, x_n)| \rightarrow \infty$ for every

$N > 0$ and every differential operator D in $(y, x_0, \dots, x_n)$ with constant coefficients. Then R_∞ is contained in R .

In the archimedean case the proof is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 7.1.3. *The action of $P(F)$ on R_∞ is irreducible, i.e., there are no non-trivial proper $\mathcal{S}(P(F))$ -invariant closed subspaces in R_∞ .*

Proof. Let M be the space of Schwartz functions on F^{n+1} . For every $f \in R_\infty$ we can consider the restriction $f|_{y=a}$ as an element of M . Furthermore, this defines an isomorphism of R_∞ with the Schwartz space of M -valued functions on F^* . Now our assertion follows easily from the irreducibility of the Schrödinger representation of $H(F)$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 7.1.2. By Lemma 7.1.3, it suffices to check that $R_\infty \cap R$ is non-trivial. To construct a non-zero element in $R_\infty \cap R$ we want to pick any non-zero $f \in R$ and replace it by $\varphi * f$, where φ is a Schwartz function on $Z(H)(F) = F$. By the definition, $\varphi * f = \hat{\varphi}(y)f$, where $\hat{\varphi}$ is the Fourier transform of φ . Let $t \in F^*$ be such that $f|_{t \times F^{n+1}} \neq 0$. Pick $c > 0$ such that $c < t < c^{-1}$ and then choose φ in such a way that $\hat{\varphi}$ is supported on the set $c \leq |y| \leq c^{-1}$ and $\hat{\varphi}(t) \neq 0$. Then $\varphi * f$ will be a non-zero element in $R_\infty \cap R$. $\square$

Now let us consider analogues of the results of section 3.2. Using the formula for the spherical vector f_0 and the fact that $R = U(\mathfrak{p})f_0$ one can easily see that the right-hand side of the formula (3.2) is well-defined. Moreover, one can check that the functional $f_0^*(f) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} f(y, 1, 0)$ is H -tempered. On the other hand, for $F = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ the twisted action of $G(F)$ on $C(F)$ is still transitive as follows from the proof of Lemma 8.1.1 below. Now it is easy to see that Proposition 3.2.2 holds in the archimedean case (the proof should be modified similarly to the proof of Proposition 7.2.1 below).

The definition of the $L(F)$ -equivariant line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over $C(F)$ and of the identifications $R(v) \simeq \mathcal{L}|_v$ for $v \in C(F)$ transfers to the archimedean case without any changes. As in section 3.2, the natural projections $R \rightarrow R(v)$ glue into a map $\text{res} : R \rightarrow \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})$. This map factors through the quotient $R \rightarrow R_0 := J_{Z(H)(F), 1}(R)$ and its image belongs to the subspace $\mathcal{S}_\infty(C(F), \mathcal{L}) \subset \Gamma(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ consisting of sections f of $\mathcal{L}$ such that for every $u \in U(\mathfrak{l})$ the section $uf(x)$ is rapidly decreasing at infinity (i.e. as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, where $x \in C(F) \subset V$), where $\mathfrak{l}$ is the Lie algebra of L . Indeed, this can be seen from the formula for the spherical vector in R .

7.2. Twisted coinvariants with respect to $Z(H)$. Now let us formulate an archimedean analogue of Proposition 6.2.3.

Proposition 7.2.1. *For every $a \in F^*$ the map $f(y, x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f(a, x_0, \dots, x_n)$ induces an $H(F)$ -equivariant isomorphism of the space $R_a := J_{Z(H), \psi_a}(R)$ with the Schrödinger representation of $H(F)$ on the Schwartz space of F^{n+1} (here ψ_a is the character of $Z(H)(F) \simeq F$ given by $\psi_a(t) = \psi(at)$). In particular, the representation of $H(F)$ on R_a is irreducible.*

Proof. In view of Proposition 7.1.2, it suffices to check that every $f \in R$ such that $f(1, x_0, \dots, x_n) \equiv 0$ maps to zero under the natural projection $R \rightarrow J_{Z(H), \psi_a}(R)$. By the definition, the latter space is the quotient of R by the closure of the span

of elements of the form

$$\varphi * h - \hat{\varphi}(a)h = \hat{\varphi}(y)h - \hat{\varphi}(a)h$$

where $h \in R$, φ is a Schwartz function on $Z(F) = F$, $\hat{\varphi}$ is its Fourier transform. Therefore, it suffices to check that every $f \in R$ such that $f(a, x_0, \dots, x_n) \equiv 0$ is a linear combination of elements of the form $\varphi * h - \hat{\varphi}(a)h$, where $h \in R$, φ is a Schwartz function on F . Let φ_1 be a C^∞ -function on F such that $\hat{\varphi}_1(a) = 1$ and $\hat{\varphi}_1(y) \equiv 0$ for $|y - a| > \epsilon$ for some small $\epsilon > 0$. Replacing f by

$$f + (\varphi_1 * f - \hat{\varphi}_1(a)f) = \hat{\varphi}_1(y)f$$

we can assume that $f(y, x_0, \dots, x_n) \equiv 0$ for $|y - a| > \epsilon$. Now let us set

$$h(y, x_0, \dots, x_n) = f(y, x_0, \dots, x_n)/(y - a) \text{ for } y \neq a.$$

Then h extends to a C^∞ -function and clearly $h \in R_\infty \subset R$. Let φ_2 be a Schwartz function on F such that $\hat{\varphi}_2(y) \equiv y$ for $|y - a| \leq \epsilon$. Then we have $f = \varphi_2 * h - \hat{\varphi}_2(a)h$. $\square$

We believe that the following analogues of Theorems 6.1.1 and 6.2.2 should also hold in the archimedean case.

Conjecture 1. If $G \neq D_4$ then the map $R_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\infty(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ is injective.

Conjecture 2. If $G \neq D_4$ then one has exact sequences as in Theorem 6.2.2, where $\mathcal{S}(C(F), \mathcal{L}) \subset \mathcal{S}_\infty(C(F), \mathcal{L})$ is the space of sections f such that for every $u \in U(\mathfrak{l})$ the section $uf(x)$ is rapidly decreasing as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and as $|x| \rightarrow 0$.

D. Barbasch informed us that he checked the required decomposition of $J(R)$ for the case of series D_k . In 9.1 we will assume the validity of the above conjectures for the groups E_6 , E_7 and E_8 . However, this assumption will not be used anywhere else.

Part II. Global picture

8. FORM OF THE AUTOMORPHIC FUNCTIONAL

Let K be a global field, G be a simply connected simple split group of type D_k or E_k over K . Let $\mathbb{A}$ be the ring of adeles, $R_\mathbb{A} = \otimes_v R_v$ the minimal representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ which is the restricted tensor product of the local minimal representations R_v with respect to spherical vectors. Below we are going to apply our local results to obtain information about (and in some cases determine explicitly) the unique $G(K)$ -invariant tempered functional on $R_\mathbb{A}$. The existence of such a functional follows from the result of [5] (in the case of D_4 this is also proven in [7]). The uniqueness (up to proportionality) is an easy consequence of the description of all $P(K)$ -invariant tempered functionals on $R_\mathbb{A}$ that we will give below. Note that in the case $G = D_k$ the uniqueness follows also from the work [5], while in the case $G = E_7$ it was proven in [6].

8.1. $P(K)$ -invariant functionals. Let ψ be a non-trivial character of $\mathbb{A}$, trivial on K , and let $\psi_v : K_v \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be its local components. For every finite place v we can consider the realization of the minimal representation associated with ψ_v (see section 1.2). For an archimedean place v such a realization also exists. Namely, one should represent the character ψ_v in the form $t \mapsto \exp(-i \operatorname{Tr}_{K_v/\mathbb{R}}(a_v t))$ for some unique $a_v \in K_v^*$ and then conjugate the standard minimal representation of $G(K_v)$ (considered in [8]) by the operator $f(y, x_0, x) \mapsto f(a_v y, a_v x_0, \dots, a_v x_n)$. In

all these local realizations R_v the centers of $H(K_v)$ act by multiplication with the characters $t \mapsto \psi_v(ty)$. The restricted product $R_{\mathbb{A}} = \otimes R_v$ can be viewed as a subspace of functions of $(y, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$, where y is an idele, x_i 's are adeles.

It is sometimes convenient to extend the numbers a_v defined above for archimedean places to a differential idele $a = (a_v)$ attached to ψ (see [17], VII-2, Def.4), so that for all finite places v the additive characters $t \mapsto \psi_v(a_v^{-1}t)$ are of order 0.

We are going to study linear functionals on $R_{\mathbb{A}}$ that are invariant with respect to $P(K)$. This will allow us to determine a general form of the $G(K)$ -invariant functional on $R_{\mathbb{A}}$. Note that whenever we talk about functionals on $R_{\mathbb{A}}$ we mean only P -tempered functionals and we denote the space of such functionals by $R_{\mathbb{A}}^*$. The simplest $P(K)$ -invariant P -tempered functional on $R_{\mathbb{A}}$ is

$$\theta_1(f) = \sum_{y \in K^*, (x_0, x) \in K^{d+1}} f(y, x_0, x).$$

Indeed, clearly, θ_1 is invariant with respect to the action of $H(K)$ and of Borel subgroup $B(K)$ in $L(K)$. On the other hand, Poincaré summation formula shows its invariance with respect to the operator S . It remains to note that $L(K)$ is generated by $B(K)$ and by S . The convergence of $\theta_1(f)$ for $f \in R_{\mathbb{A}}$ is clear in the functional field case since only a finite number of terms will be non-zero. In the number field case the convergence follows from the fact that every smooth vector at archimedean place is obtained from the spherical vector by the action of the universal enveloping algebra of P and from the explicit formulas for archimedean spherical vectors in [8] (due to the exponential decay at infinity of the relevant Bessel functions). Also, since the action of P is given essentially by the formulas of Weil representation, the results of [16] imply that the functional θ_1 is P -tempered.

Another $P(K)$ -invariant functional is obtained by using the theory of section 3.2. Recall that for every place v we have an $L(K_v)$ -equivariant complex line bundle $\mathcal{L}_v$ over the cone $C(K_v)$. Namely, we use the identification $L(K_v)/S_{\beta_0}(K_v) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(K_v)$ given by the (twisted) action on $e_{\beta_0} \in C(K_v)$ and define $\mathcal{L}_v$ to be the line bundle corresponding to the character $|\delta|_v^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ of $S_{\beta_0}(K_v)$. For every v we have a unique (up to constant) $P(K_v)$ -equivariant map $\text{res}_v : R_v \rightarrow \Gamma(C(K_v), \mathcal{L}_v)$ where $H(K_v)$ acts on sections of $\mathcal{L}_v$ by the rule (3.4). Let us normalize these maps by the condition

$$\text{res}_v(f_{0,v})(a_v^{-1}e_{\beta_0}) = \omega_{\beta_0}^{\vee}(a_v)(1)$$

where $f_{0,v} \in R_v$ are spherical vectors normalized as in section 1.2. This is equivalent to the normalization of section 3.5. Note that for all finite places the canonical trivializations of $\mathcal{L}_v$ at e_{β_0} extend naturally to $L(\mathcal{O}_v)$ -equivariant trivializations of $\mathcal{L}_v$ over $C(\mathcal{O}_v)$. Therefore, we can define the $L(\mathbb{A})$ -equivariant line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{A}}$ over $C(\mathbb{A})$ with the fiber $\otimes_v \mathcal{L}_{z_v}$ over $z \in C(\mathbb{A})$ (almost all factors of the tensor product are trivial).

Lemma 8.1.1. *The action of $L(K)$ on $C(K)$ is transitive.*

Proof. As in Lemma 3.1.6 we see that the statement is equivalent to the triviality of the kernel (i.e., the preimage of the trivial class) of the map $H^1(K, G_0) \rightarrow H^1(K, G_1)$. In the case when G is of type E_6 or E_7 this follows from the triviality of $H^1(K, G_0)$. In the case $G = E_8$ (resp., $G = D_k$) the map in question is $H^1(K, E_6) \rightarrow H^1(K, E_7)$ (resp. $H^1(K, \text{SO}_{2k-6}) \rightarrow H^1(K, \text{SO}_{2k-4})$). In the case of series D the assertion follows easily from the theory of quadratic forms. It remains to show that the embedding of split simply connected groups $E_6 \rightarrow E_7$ induces

an embedding of the groups H^1 . By the Hasse principle this is equivalent to the similar assertion about groups over $\mathbb{R}$. So let us denote by $E_6 \rightarrow E_7$ the standard embedding of split simply connected groups over $\mathbb{R}$. Let α be a 1-cocycle of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ with values in E_6 representing a non-trivial element in $H^1(\mathbb{R}, E_6)$ and let $E_6^\alpha \subset E_7^\alpha$ be the twists of our groups by α . It suffices to prove that E_7^α is nonsplit. Note that since the map $H^1(\mathbb{R}, E_6) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}, E_6/A)$, where A is the center of E_6 , is an isomorphism, the group E_6^α is a nonsplit form. Let $Z \subset E_7$ be the connected component of 1 in the centralizer of E_6 in E_7 . Then Z is a split 1-dimensional torus and its twist $Z^\alpha \subset E_7^\alpha$ is isomorphic to Z . Let $G \subset E_7$ be the connected component of 1 in the centralizer of Z in E_7 . Then $G = Z \cdot E_6$ and $G^\alpha = Z \cdot E_6^\alpha$ is the centralizer of Z in E_7^α . If E_7^α were split then G^α would contain a split 7-dimensional torus which is a contradiction since E_6^α is nonsplit. $\square$

Remark. Our proof generalizes to the case of more general pairs of split groups $G_0 \rightarrow G$ over some field k , where G_0 is the semisimple part of the Levi component of a maximal parabolic subgroup in G . The statement is that the induced map $H^1(k, G_0) \rightarrow H^1(k, G)$ sends elements inducing non-split forms of G_0 to elements inducing non-split forms of G .

Using the above lemma we can construct a natural trivialization of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{A}$ over $C(K) \subset C(\mathbb{A})$. Indeed, for every $z \in C(K)$ we can choose $g \in L(K)$ such that $z = g * e_{\beta_0}$. The corresponding isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{A})|_z \simeq (\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{A})|_{e_{\beta_0}} \simeq \mathbb{C}$$

does not depend on g because of the triviality of $|\delta|$ on $S_{\beta_0}(K)$. Now for every $f = \otimes f_v \in R_\mathbb{A}$ we have a well-defined section $\text{res}(f)$ of $\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{A}$:

$$\text{res}(f)(z) = \prod_v \text{res}_v(f_v)(z_v)$$

where $z \in C(\mathbb{A})$. Using the trivialization of $\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{A}$ over $C(K)$ we can define the functional

$$\theta_2(f) = \sum_{z \in C(K)} \text{res}(f)(z).$$

It is clear that this functional is $P(K)$ -invariant. The convergence for $f \in R_\mathbb{A}$ is checked in the same way as for θ_1 : if K is a functional field, then almost all terms in $\theta_2(f)$ are zero; if K is a number field one has to use the explicit formula for the spherical vectors at archimedean places. The fact that θ_2 is P -tempered is clear from the explicit formulas for the action of $P(F)$ on $\text{res}(f)$.

Theorem 8.1.2. *The space of $P(K)$ -invariant P -tempered functionals on $R_\mathbb{A}$ is generated by θ_1 , θ_2 and by the subspace of $P(K)H(\mathbb{A})$ -invariant functionals.*

Corollary 8.1.3. *The space of $G(K)$ -invariant P -tempered functionals on $R_\mathbb{A}$ is 1-dimensional.*

Proof of the corollary. Since the functional θ_2 is $Z(H)(\mathbb{A})$ -invariant, we obtain from the theorem that the subspace $(R_\mathbb{A}^*)^{P(K)}Z(H)(\mathbb{A}) \subset (R_\mathbb{A}^*)^{P(K)}$ has codimension 1. Since $G(K)$ and $Z(H)(\mathbb{A})$ generate $G(\mathbb{A})$, the projection

$$(R_\mathbb{A}^*)^{G(K)} \rightarrow (R_\mathbb{A}^*)^{P(K)} / (R_\mathbb{A}^*)^{P(K)Z(H)(\mathbb{A})}$$

is an embedding. On the other hand, from [5] we know that there exists a non-zero $G(K)$ -invariant tempered functional on $R_{\mathbb{A}}$. $\square$

Let $R_{\mathbb{A},0}$ be the restricted tensor product of the local spaces $R_{v,0}$ of $Z(H)$ -coinvariants (recall that at infinite places we use the definition of section 7). Let $R'_{\mathbb{A}} \subset R_{\mathbb{A}}$ be the kernel of the map $R_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{A},0}$ and let $R'^{*}_{\mathbb{A}}$ be the space of H -tempered linear functionals on $R_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Lemma 8.1.4. *One has $\dim(R'^{*}_{\mathbb{A}})^{P(K)} \leq 1$.*

Proof. Consider the space $(R'^{*}_{\mathbb{A}})^{Z(H)(K)}$. Since the quotient group $\mathbb{A}/K$ is compact, we have

$$(R'^{*}_{\mathbb{A}})^{Z(H)(K)} \subset \prod_{\alpha} J_{Z(H),\alpha}(R_{\mathbb{A}})^{*},$$

where α runs through non-trivial characters of the group $\mathbb{A}/K$ and the twisted coinvariant spaces $J_{Z(H),\alpha}(R_{\mathbb{A}})$ are defined in the same way as in section 7 using the ring of Schwartz functions on $Z(H)(\mathbb{A})$, the functionals on $J_{Z(H),\alpha}(R_{\mathbb{A}})^{*}$ are assumed to be H -tempered. It follows from Propositions 6.2.3 and 7.2.1 that the natural action of the group $H(\mathbb{A})$ on $J_{Z(H),\alpha}(R_{\mathbb{A}})$ is irreducible and equivalent to the space of smooth vectors in the Schrödinger representation of $H(\mathbb{A})$ corresponding to the character α . Hence, the spaces $J_{Z(H),\alpha}(R_{\mathbb{A}})^{*}$ are 1-dimensional (see [16]). Since the natural action of the group $P(K)$ on the set of non-trivial characters α of the group $Z(H)(\mathbb{A})/Z(H)(K) \simeq \mathbb{A}/K$ is *transitive*, we obtain that $\dim(R'^{*}_{\mathbb{A}})^{P(K)} \leq 1$. $\square$

Let $R''_{\mathbb{A}} \subset R_{\mathbb{A},0}$ be the kernel of the map $R_{\mathbb{A},0} \rightarrow J(R_{\mathbb{A}})$, where $J(R_{\mathbb{A}})$ is the Jacquet functor with respect to $H(\mathbb{A})$ (defined as in section 7). Let $R''^{*}_{\mathbb{A}}$ be the space of P -tempered functionals on $R''_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Lemma 8.1.5. *$\dim(R''^{*}_{\mathbb{A}})^{P(K)} \leq 1$.*

Proof. The group $V(\mathbb{A})$ acts naturally on the space $R_{\mathbb{A},0}$ and preserves the subspace $R''_{\mathbb{A}}$. Consider the space $(R''^{*}_{\mathbb{A}})^{V(K)}$. Since the quotient group $V(\mathbb{A})/V(K)$ is compact, we have

$$(R''^{*}_{\mathbb{A}})^{V(K)} \subset \prod_{\beta \in B} J_{V(\mathbb{A}),\beta}(R_{\mathbb{A},0})^{*}$$

where β runs through the set B of non-trivial characters of the group $V(\mathbb{A})/V(K)$. We can identify the set B with $V(K) - \{0\}$. It follows from the adelic version of Proposition 3.2.2 that $J_{V(\mathbb{A}),\beta}(R_{\mathbb{A},0})^{*} = \{0\}$ if β does not belong to $C(K)$ and that $\dim(J_{V(\mathbb{A}),\beta}(R_{\mathbb{A},0})^{*}) = 1$ for $\beta \in C(K)$. Therefore, Lemma 8.1.1 implies that $\dim(R''^{*}_{\mathbb{A}})^{P(K)} \leq 1$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 8.1.2. According to Lemma 8.1.5 $P(K)H(\mathbb{A})$ -invariant functionals form a subspace of codimension at most 1 in $(R^{*}_{\mathbb{A},0})^{P(K)}$. Therefore, the latter space is generated by θ_2 together with the subspace $(R^{*}_{\mathbb{A}})^{P(K)H(\mathbb{A})}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 8.1.4, $(R^{*}_{\mathbb{A},0})^{P(K)}$ is a subspace in $(R^{*}_{\mathbb{A}})^{P(K)}$ of codimension at most 1. Therefore, $(R^{*}_{\mathbb{A}})^{P(K)}$ is generated by this subspace and by θ_1 . $\square$

8.2. Automorphic functional. Now we can apply our study of $P(K)$ -invariant functionals on $R_{\mathbb{A}}$ to determine the form of the $G(K)$ -invariant (tempered) functional. Recall that we denote by $a = (a_v)$ a differential idele corresponding to ψ . It is well-known that in the number field case $|a| = |D|^{-1}$, where D is the discriminant, while in the functional field case $|a| = q^{2-2g}$, where q is the number of elements in the field of constants, g is genus (see [17], VII-2, prop. 6).

Theorem 8.2.1. *A non-zero $G(K)$ -invariant tempered functional on $R_{\mathbb{A}}$ is a scalar multiple of a functional of the form*

$$\theta(f) = \theta_1(f) + |a|^{-\frac{n+3}{2}} \cdot \theta_2(f) + \alpha(f),$$

where α is a $P(K)H(\mathbb{A})$ -invariant functional.

Proof. Using Theorem 8.1.2 we obtain that a $G(K)$ -invariant tempered functional θ can be written as follows

$$\theta = c_1\theta_1 + c_2\theta_2 + \alpha$$

where α is $H(\mathbb{A})$ -invariant. Note that if $c_1 = 0$ then θ is $Z(H)(\mathbb{A})$ -invariant. Since $G(K)$ and $Z(H)(\mathbb{A})$ generate $G(\mathbb{A})$, this is impossible. So we can assume that $c_1 = 1$.

Let S be a non-empty set of places containing all archimedean places, such that all the characters ψ_v for $v \notin S$ have order 0. We define a family of function $f^\epsilon = \otimes_v f_v^\epsilon \in R_{\mathbb{A}}$ depending on a real positive parameter ϵ as follows. For places $v \notin S$ we set $f_v^\epsilon = f_{0,v}$ (the spherical vector). For $v \in S$ finite we set

$$f_v^\epsilon = \delta_{|y|_v=1; |(x_0, \dots, x_n)|_v < \epsilon},$$

where δ_U denotes the characteristic function of the set U . For archimedean $v \in S$ we define f_v^ϵ to be a family of functions in R_v such that the support of f_v^ϵ is contained in the set $\{(y, x_0, \dots, x_n) : |y|_v - 1| < \epsilon, |x_i|_v < \epsilon\}$ and such that $f_v^\epsilon(y, 0, \dots, 0) = 1$ if $|y|_v = 1$.

From the $G(K)$ -invariance of the functional θ we get

$$\theta(f^\epsilon) = \theta(Af^\epsilon) \tag{8.1}$$

where A is the operator (1.2). We are going to calculate both sides of this equality (or rather their limits for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$).

Since $\text{res}_v(f_v^\epsilon) = 0$ for $v \in S$ we have $\theta(f^\epsilon) = \theta_1(f^\epsilon)$. Furthermore, in the sum defining $\theta_1(f^\epsilon)$ the non-zero terms are only those for which $y, x_i \in O_v$ ($i = 0, \dots, n$) for all $v \notin S$ and $||y|_v - 1| < \epsilon, |x_i|_v < \epsilon$ for all $v \in S$. If ϵ is sufficiently small this implies that $x_0 = \dots = x_n = 0$ while $|y|_v = 1$ for all v , hence y is a root of unity in K . Note that for such y we have $f^\epsilon(y, 0, \dots, 0) = 1$, so we obtain

$$\theta(f^\epsilon) = |\mu(K)|,$$

where $\mu(K) \subset K^*$ is the set of all roots of unity in K .

Now let us calculate the limit of the RHS of (8.1) as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. For $v \notin S$ we have $Af_v^\epsilon = f_{0,v}$, while for $v \in S$ the support of Af_v^ϵ is contained in the set $\{(y, x_0, \dots, x_n) : ||x_0|_v - 1| < \epsilon, |y|_v < \epsilon, |x_i|_v < \epsilon, i \geq 1\}$. It follows that for sufficiently small ϵ we have $\theta_1(Af^\epsilon) = 0$ (since in this sum y is required to be non-zero). Also, since the support of $\text{res}_v(Af_v^\epsilon)$ for $v \in S$ is contained in the set $\{(x_0, \dots, x_n) : ||x_0|_v - 1| < \epsilon, |x_i|_v < \epsilon, i \geq 1\}$ (considered as a subset of $\Lambda^0(K_v) \subset C(K_v)$), we have $\alpha(Af^\epsilon) = 0$. Arguing as before we see that in the sum

defining $\theta_2(Af^\epsilon)$ only the terms with $x_0 \in \mu(K)$, $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$, survive. Now applying (3.10), we get

$$\text{res}(Af^\epsilon)(x_0 e_{\beta_0}) = |a|^{\frac{n+3}{2}} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} Af^\epsilon(y, x_0, 0) = |a|^{\frac{n+3}{2}} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} f^\epsilon(-x_0, y, 0) = |a|^{\frac{n+3}{2}}.$$

It follows that

$$\theta(Af^\epsilon) = c_2 \cdot |a|^{\frac{n+3}{2}} \cdot |\mu(K)|$$

Hence, from equation (8.1) we obtain $c_2 = |a|^{-\frac{n+3}{2}}$. $\square$

9. AUTOMORPHIC FUNCTIONAL FOR $G = E_k$

Throughout this section we assume that G is of the type E_k , $k = 6, 7, 8$, and we set $s = 1, 2, 4$ in these three cases as before.

9.1. More on the form of $G(K)$ -invariant functional. Recall that in the case $G = E_k$ the line bundles $\mathcal{L}_v$ over $C(K_v)$ have canonical trivialization, so the $P(K_v)$ -modules $\Gamma(C(K_v), \mathcal{L}_v)$ are identified with the spaces of functions on $C(K_v)$ with the action of $P(K_v)$ twisted by $|\omega|^{s+1}$ (see Corollary 3.3.2). This allows to rewrite the functional θ_2 more explicitly.

Using the trivialization of $\mathcal{L}_v$ we can consider the map res_v as a $P(K_v)$ -equivariant map

$$\text{res}_v : R_v \rightarrow |\omega|^{s+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}(C(K_v)).$$

On the other hand, using Corollary 3.3.2 and isomorphism of R_v with standard realization, we obtain another $P(K_v)$ -equivariant map between these spaces: $f \mapsto \bar{f}^{\text{norm}} := |x_0|_v^{s+1} \bar{f}$, where $\bar{f}$ is given by (3.2) and the function $\bar{f}^{\text{norm}}$ is extended uniquely from the open subset $\Lambda^0(K_v) \subset C(K_v)$ to a locally constant function on $C(K_v)$.

To compare these two maps it is enough to compare $\text{res}_v(f)(e_{\beta_0})$ with $\bar{f}(e_{\beta_0})$. The formula (3.10) immediately implies that

$$\text{res}_v(f)(x_0, x) = |a_v|^{\frac{n+3}{2}} \cdot \bar{f}^{\text{norm}}(x_0, x)$$

on $\Lambda^0(K_v) \subset C(K_v)$. Thus, defining $\bar{f}^{\text{norm}}$ as the product of local factors for $f = \otimes_v f_v$ we get

$$\theta_2(f) = |a|^{\frac{n+3}{2}} \sum_{z \in C(K)} \bar{f}^{\text{norm}}(z). \quad (9.1)$$

Thus, the formula of Theorem 8.2.1 for the $G(K)$ -invariant tempered functional can be rewritten as follows:

$$\theta(f) = \sum_{y \in K^*, (x_0, x) \in K^{n+1}} f(y, x_0, x) + \sum_{z \in C(K)} \bar{f}^{\text{norm}}(z) + \alpha(f). \quad (9.2)$$

In fact, we can say more about the last term α . Let us assume that the conjecture of section 7 is true for the archimedean places of K . Using Theorem 6.2.2 we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \quad (9.3)$$

where the functionals α_1 and α_2 are $H(\mathbb{A})$ -invariant, α_1 (resp., α_2) is an eigenvector for the action of $Z(L)(\mathbb{A})$ with the eigenvalue $|\omega|^{s+1}$ (resp., $|\omega|^{\frac{s}{2}+1}$). Next, we claim that the functional α_1 and α_2 are proportional to the following two natural functionals. For every place v we have projections $J(R_v) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $J(R_v) \rightarrow$

$R_{G_1, v}$ corresponding to the decomposition of $J(R_v)$ into irreducible components (see Theorem 6.2.2). We normalize these projections in such a way that the image of the spherical vector under the first (resp., second) projection is the element $1 \in \mathbb{C}$ (resp., spherical vector in $R_{G_1, v}$). Taking the product of these projections over all places we obtain surjective maps $\theta_{\text{triv}} : J(R_{\mathbb{A}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $J(R_{\mathbb{A}}) \rightarrow R_{G_1, \mathbb{A}}$. Let us denote by $\theta_{G_1} : J(R_{\mathbb{A}}) \rightarrow C$ the composition of the latter map with the $G_1(K)$ -invariant functional on $R_{G_1, \mathbb{A}}$. It is easy to see that θ_{triv} and θ_{G_1} are $L(K)$ -invariant functionals on $J(R_{\mathbb{A}})$. Our claim follows from the following result.

Proposition 9.1.1. *The space of $G_1(K)$ -invariant tempered functionals on $J(R_{\mathbb{A}})$ is spanned by θ_{triv} and θ_{G_1} .*

Proof. Let us denote by $J'(R_{\mathbb{A}}) \subset J(R_{\mathbb{A}})$ the kernel of the projection $J(R_{\mathbb{A}}) \rightarrow R_{G_1, \mathbb{A}}$. By Corollary 8.1.3 it suffices to prove that the space of $G_1(K)$ -invariant tempered functionals on $J'(R_{\mathbb{A}})$ is spanned by the restriction of θ_{triv} . Let us denote by $f_{1, v} \in J(R_{\mathbb{A}})$ the element corresponding to $(1, 0)$ under the isomorphism $R_v \simeq \mathbb{C} \oplus R_{G_1, v}$. Then $J'(R_{\mathbb{A}})$ is generated by tensor products of vectors in $J(R_v)$ over all v with $f_{1, v}$ in at least one place (and with the spherical vector at almost all places). Now let θ' be a $G_1(K)$ -invariant functional on $J'(R_{\mathbb{A}})$. Adding to θ' a scalar multiple of θ_{triv} we can assume that $\theta'(\otimes_v f_{1, v}) = 0$. We claim that this implies that $\theta' = 0$. Indeed, let us fix a place v_0 and consider the subspace

$$J'_{v_0}(R_{\mathbb{A}}) = f_{1, v_0} \otimes J(R_{\mathbb{A}^{v_0}}) \subset J'(R_{\mathbb{A}})$$

where $R_{\mathbb{A}^{v_0}}$ is the restricted product of R_v over $v \neq v_0$. It suffices to prove that the restriction of θ' to this subspace is zero. We can consider this restriction as a $G(K)$ -invariant functional on $J(R_{\mathbb{A}^{v_0}})$. But $G(K)$ is dense in $G(\mathbb{A}^{v_0})$, so it is in fact $G(\mathbb{A}^{v_0})$ -invariant. Hence, $\theta'|_{J'_{v_0}(R_{\mathbb{A}})}$ is proportional to the restriction of θ^{triv} . Since we assumed that $\theta'(\otimes_v f_{1, v}) = 0$ this implies that $\theta'|_{J'_{v_0}(R_{\mathbb{A}})} = 0$. $\square$

It follows that α_1 is proportional to θ_{triv} while α_2 is proportional to θ_{G_1} . Let $f_0 = \otimes_v f_{0, v}$ be the product of spherical vectors over all places. By the definition $\theta_{\text{triv}}(f_0) = 1$. Therefore, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(f_0)\theta_{\text{triv}}$. Similarly, if we could prove that $\alpha_2(f_0) \neq 0$, this would imply that $\theta_{G_1}(f_0) \neq 0$ and then we would have $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2(f_0)\theta_{G_1}/\theta_{G_1}(f_0)$. Therefore, our study of the automorphic functional on $R_{\mathbb{A}}$ essentially reduces to finding the constants $\alpha_1(f_0)$ and $\alpha_2(f_0)$. In the next section we will develop a method for computing $\alpha_1(f_0)$ and $\alpha_2(f_0)$ assuming that K is a functional field.

Now let us further rewrite the first two terms of the formula (9.2) for f of the form $f = f_0^S \otimes f_S$, where S is a finite set of places of K containing all archimedean places, f_0^S is the product of spherical vectors over all places v such that $v \notin S$, f_S is an element of $R_S = \prod_{v \in S} R_v$. Let us assume also that for all $v \notin S$ the character ψ_v has order 0. Then our formula for the spherical vector implies that for every $v \notin S$ one has

$$\overline{f_{0, v}^{\text{norm}}}(z) = \frac{q_v^s |z|_v^{-s} - 1}{q_v^s - 1} \cdot \delta_{|z|_v \leq 1},$$

where $z \in C(K_v)$, $|z|_v$ as the maximum of norms of all coordinates of z , q_v is the number of elements in the residue field of v . Let us define the divisor supported at the point $v \notin S$ by setting

$$\text{div}_v(z) = n_v(z) \cdot v,$$

where the integer $n_v \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the order of z at v . Then we can rewrite the above formula as

$$\overline{f_{0,v}}^{\text{norm}}(z) = \phi_v(\text{div}_v(z))$$

where the function ϕ_v on divisors supported at v is defined by

$$\phi_v(n \cdot v) = \begin{cases} \frac{q_v^{(n+1)s} - 1}{q_v^s - 1}, & n \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This implies that the vector $f_0^S = \prod_{v \notin S} f_{0,v}$ satisfies

$$\overline{f_0^S}^{\text{norm}}(z) = \phi(\text{div}^S(z)) \quad (9.4)$$

where $\text{div}^S(z) = \sum_{v \notin S} \text{div}_v(z)$ and the function ϕ is defined by

$$\phi(D) = \prod_v \phi_v(D_v)$$

where D_v is the part of D supported at v .

Lemma 9.1.2. *One has*

$$\phi(D) = \sum_{0 \leq D' \leq D} |D'|^{-s},$$

where $|\sum_v n_v \cdot v| := \prod_v q_v^{-n_v}$.

Proof. Indeed, it suffices to check this for divisors supported at one place in which case this is trivial. $\square$

The formula (9.4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} f_0^S(y, x_0, x) &= \prod_{v: |y|_v \leq |x_0|_v} \psi_v(-\frac{I(x)}{yx_0}) |\text{div}^S(y, x_0)|^{-s-1} \times \\ &\phi(\text{div}^S(y, x_0), x, \text{div}^S(I'(x)) - \text{div}^S(y, x_0), \text{div}^S(I(x)) - 2 \text{div}^S(y, x_0)) \end{aligned} \quad (9.5)$$

where the divisor associated to a vector is set to be the minimum of the divisors of its component, $\phi(D_1, \dots, D_k) = \phi(\min(D_1, \dots, D_k))$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(f_0^S \otimes f_S) &= \sum_{y \in K^*, x_0, \dots, x_n \in K} \prod_{v: |y|_v \leq |x_0|_v} \psi_v(-\frac{I(x)}{yx_0}) |\text{div}^S(y, x_0)|^{-s-1} \times \\ &\phi(\text{div}^S(y, x_0), x, \text{div}^S(I'(x)) - \text{div}^S(y, x_0), \text{div}^S(I(x)) - 2 \text{div}^S(y, x_0)) f_S(y, x_0, x) + \\ &\sum_{z \in C(K)} \phi(\text{div}^S(z)) \overline{f_S}^{\text{norm}}(z) + \alpha_1(f_0^S \otimes f_S) + \alpha_2(f_0^S \otimes f_S). \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the first sum the non-zero terms correspond only to $y, x_0, \dots, x_n$ that belong to the ring of S -integers $\mathcal{O}^S := K \cap \prod_{v \notin S} \mathcal{O}_v$, such that $\text{div}^S(I'(x)) \geq \text{div}^S(y, x_0)$, $\text{div}^S(I(x)) \geq 2 \text{div}^S(y, x_0)$.

Let us consider the particular case when $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $S = \infty$ is the only archimedean place of $\mathbb{Q}$, ψ is the product of standard additive characters ψ_p of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and of $\exp(-it)$. In this case $f_0^S = f_{0,\text{fin}}$ is the product of spherical vectors over all finite places, $f_S = f_\infty$ is an arbitrary element of R_∞ . Then we can rewrite the above

formula as

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(f_{0,\text{fin}} \otimes f_\infty) = & \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, x_0, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{p: |y|_p \leq |x_0|_p} \psi_p\left(-\frac{I(x)}{yx_0}\right) \times \\ & |\gcd(y, x_0)|^{s+1} \mu_s(\gcd(y, x_0), x, \frac{I'(x)}{\gcd(y, x_0)}, \frac{I(x)}{\gcd(y, x_0)^2}) f_\infty(y, x_0, x) + \\ & \sum_{z \in C(\mathbb{Z})} \mu_s(z) \overline{f_\infty}^{\text{norm}}(z) + \alpha_1(f_{0,\text{fin}} \otimes f_\infty) + \alpha_2(f_{0,\text{fin}} \otimes f_\infty), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_s(a) = \sum_{d|a} d^s$, $\mu_s(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \mu_s(\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n))$, $\gcd$ stands for the greatest common divisor. Recall that the automorphic form on $G(\mathbb{A})$ corresponding to θ is given by $\varphi(g) = \theta(gf_0)$ where f_0 is the product of spherical vectors over all places. Since φ is $G(\mathbb{Q})$ -left-invariant and right-invariant with respect to the maximal compact subgroups at all primes, it is uniquely recovered from its restriction to $G(\mathbb{R}) \subset G(\mathbb{A})$. This restriction is the function $\varphi(g) = \theta(f_{0,\text{fin}} \otimes gf_{0,\infty})$ on $G(\mathbb{R})$ which is left-invariant with respect to $G(\mathbb{Z}) \subset G(\mathbb{R})$ and right-invariant with respect to the maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{R})$. The above formula gives an expression of $\varphi(g)$ with the first two terms being the weighted sum of $gf_{0,\infty}$ over the integer lattice and the weighted sum of $\overline{gf_{0,\infty}}^{\text{norm}}$ over $C(\mathbb{Z})$. Using the explicit formula for the archimedian spherical vector $f_{0,\infty}$ and the formulas for the action of the Borel subgroup of G on R , one can write explicitly the first two terms of $\varphi(b)$ for b in the Borel subgroup of $G(\mathbb{R})$. Also, we know that $\alpha_1(bf_0) = |\omega(b)|^{s+1} \alpha_1(f_0)$ where the character $\omega : T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ is extended to the Borel subgroup of G , so the only remaining problems are to compute $\alpha_1(f_0)$ and to understand the term corresponding to α_2 . We will return to these problems in a sequel to this paper.

9.2. Case of the functional field and $G = E_k$. Henceforward, we assume that K is a functional field corresponding to a smooth projective curve X over a finite field. Let $\mathbb{F}_q = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be the field of constants for X , $|X|$ the set of places (i.e. the set of points of X over an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$ modulo the action of the Galois group). When we talk about divisors on X we always mean divisors defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. We will prove that the constants $\alpha_1(f_0)$ and $\alpha_2(f_0)$ are uniquely determined by the curve X . Moreover, we will compute them in the case $X = \mathbb{P}^1$.

In the functional case it is convenient to twist our local models by the fibers of the canonical bundle of C . Recall (see 1.2) that in the local picture we can start with an arbitrary free $\mathcal{O}$ -module M of rank 1 and a character $\psi : F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ which is trivial on M and non-trivial on $\pi^{-1}M$. Then we can realize the minimal representation of the local group $G(F)$ in functions of $y, x_0, \dots, x_n \in F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} M$ and our formula for the spherical vector is still valid in this realization (where the norm on $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} M$ is determined by the condition that M is the unit ball around 0). Now for every invertible sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules $\mathcal{M}$ on X we can replace adeles $\mathbb{A}$ by the $\mathbb{A}$ -module

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}} = \prod_v (K_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,v}} \mathcal{M}_v)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_v$ is the stalk of $\mathcal{M}$ at v , the product is restricted with respect to the subgroups $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_v = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,v} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,v}} \mathcal{M}_v$. The analogue of rational adeles in this picture is $\mathcal{M}_K \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}}$: this is the stalk of $\mathcal{M}$ at the general point of X (the space of rational sections of $\mathcal{M}$ on X). Note that if we use local norms $|\cdot|_v$ on $K_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,v}} \mathcal{M}_v$ for which $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_v$ are unit balls, then for $s \in \mathcal{M}_K$ one has $\prod_v |s|_v = q^{-\deg \mathcal{M}}$. For $\mathcal{M} = \omega_X$ we

denote the twisted adeles by $\omega_{\mathbb{A}}$ and twisted rational adèles by $\omega_K \subset \omega_{\mathbb{A}}$. Let us choose a non-trivial character $\psi_0 : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ and define the character $\psi : \omega_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ by the formula

$$\psi((\omega_v)) = \psi_0\left(\sum_{v \in |X|} \text{Tr}_v \text{Res}_v(\omega_v)\right)$$

where Res_v is the residue map with values in the residue field $k(v)$, $\text{Tr}_v : k(v) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ is the trace map. By the residue theorem, ψ is trivial on ω_K . We define the global minimal representation $R_{\mathbb{A}}$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ as the restricted tensor product of local minimal representations R_v , where for every place $v \in |X|$ we use the realization of the minimal representation of $G(K_v)$ associated with the module $\hat{\omega}_{X,v}$. We can think about $R_{\mathbb{A}}$ as a subspace in the space of functions $f(y, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where $x_i \in \omega_{\mathbb{A}}$, $y \in \omega_{\mathbb{A}}^*$.

To identify this picture with the previous way of twisting one just has to choose a non-zero rational 1-form $\eta \in \omega_K$. Then the map $f \mapsto f(y \cdot \eta, x_0 \cdot \eta, \dots, x_n \cdot \eta)$ will give an equivalence from the twisted realization that was defined previously (for the character $\psi \circ \eta$ of $\mathbb{A}$) with this one.

In our new twisted picture the functionals θ_1 and θ_2 assume the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1(f) &= \sum_{y \in \omega_K \setminus \{0\}, (x_0, x) \in \omega_K^{n+1}} f(y, x_0, x), \\ \theta_2(f) &= q^{(2g-2)(s+1)} \cdot \sum_{z \in C(\omega_K)} \bar{f}^{\text{norm}}(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{f}^{\text{norm}}$ is defined in the same way as before (but with the new meaning of the norms $|\cdot|_v$). Here the constant $q^{(2g-2)(s+1)}$ appears as a ratio $|x_0|^{s+1}/|x_0\eta|^{s+1}$ for $x_0 \in K^*$, $\eta \in \omega_K \setminus \{0\}$. The analogue of formula (9.4) is

$$\bar{f}_0^{\text{norm}}(z) = \phi(\text{div}(z)), \quad (9.6)$$

where $\text{div}(z)$ is the minimum of the divisors of the coordinates of $z \in C(\omega_K)$,

$$\phi(D) = \sum_{0 \leq D' \leq D} q^{s \deg D'}. \quad (9.7)$$

Thus, the $G(K)$ -invariant functional in this realization should take form

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(f) &= \sum_{y \in \omega_K \setminus \{0\}, (x_0, x) \in \omega_K^{n+1}} f(y, x_0, x) + q^{(2g-2)(s+1)} \cdot \sum_{z \in C(\omega_K)} \bar{f}^{\text{norm}}(z) \\ &\quad + \alpha_1(f) + \alpha_2(f), \end{aligned} \quad (9.8)$$

where g is the genus of X . Since the $G(K)$ -invariant functional θ as in (9.8) is unique, the constants $\alpha_1(f_0)$ and $\alpha_2(f_0)$ depend only on $s \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ and on the curve X . We are going to describe a simple general method that could be used to compute these constants. Then we will apply this method in the case $X = \mathbb{P}^1$. The case of elliptic curve will be considered in 9.3.

The idea is to apply the functional θ to the elements of the form tf_0 , where $t \in Z(L)(\mathbb{A})$ and to use the fact that α_1 and α_2 are eigenvectors with respect to the action of $Z(L)(\mathbb{A})$. Using the isomorphism $\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow Z(L)$ we can map ideles to elements of $Z(L)(\mathbb{A})$. Now for every idele $a \in \mathbb{A}^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a) f_0(y, x_0, x) &= |a|^{3s+3} f_0(a^2 y, ax_0, ax), \\ \overline{\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a) f_0}^{\text{norm}}(z) &= |a|^{2s+2} \bar{f}_0^{\text{norm}}(az). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if D is the divisor of a then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)f_0) &= |a|^{3s+3} \sum_{y \in H^0(X, \omega_X(2D)) \setminus \{0\}, (x_0, x) \in H^0(X, \omega_X(D))^{n+1}} f_0^D(y, x_0, x) + \\ & q^{(2g-2)(s+1)} |a|^{2s+2} \sum_{z \in C(H^0(X, \omega_X(D)))} \overline{f}_0^D(z) + |a|^{2s+2} \alpha_1(f_0) + |a|^{s+2} \alpha_2(f_0) \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{f}_0^D$ and f_0^D are some modifications of the functions $\overline{f}_0^{\text{norm}}$ and f_0 defined as follows. The function $\overline{f}_0^D(z) = \prod_v \overline{f}_{0v}^D(z)$ for $z \in C(\omega_X(D)_\mathbb{A})$ is given by the formula (9.6), the only difference is that the line bundle ω_X is replaced by $\omega_X(D)$. The function $f_0^D(y, x_0, x)$ for $y \in \omega_X(2D)_\mathbb{A}^*$, $(x_0, x) \in \omega_X(D)_\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ is the product of local factors that are given by

$$f_0^D(y, x_0, x)_v = \begin{cases} \psi_0(-\text{Res}_v \frac{I(x)}{yx_0}) |x_0|_v^{-s-1} \overline{f}_{0v}^D(x_0, x, \frac{I'(x)}{x_0}, \frac{I(x)}{x_0^2}), & |y|_v \leq |x_0|_v, \\ |y|_v^{-s-1} \phi_v(\text{div}(y), \text{div}(x), \text{div}(\frac{I'(x)}{y}), \text{div}(\frac{I(x)}{y^2})), & |x_0|_v < |y|_v. \end{cases}$$

Note that this formula makes sense since the ratio $I(x)/yx_0$ can be viewed as an element of $\omega_\mathbb{A}$. Also in this formula we extend the definition of ϕ_v to several divisors by setting $\phi_v(D_1, \dots, D_n) = \phi_v(\min(D_1, \dots, D_n))$. To define the relevant divisors, we view $I'(x)/y$ as an element of $\omega_\mathbb{A}$ and $I(x)/y^2$ as an element of $\omega_X(-D)_\mathbb{A}$. In order for the above formula to be well-defined we introduce the divisor of zero $\text{div}(0)$, which should be thought of as infinitely large, so that $\min(D, \text{div}(0)) = D$ for all D .

We see that the sums

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1^D(f_0) &:= \sum_{y \in H^0(X, \omega_X(2D)) \setminus \{0\}, (x_0, x) \in H^0(X, \omega_X(D))^{n+1}} f_0^D(y, x_0, x), \\ \theta_2^D(f_0) &:= \sum_{z \in C(H^0(X, \omega_X(D)))} \overline{f}_0^D(z) \end{aligned}$$

depend only on the linear equivalence class of D and that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)f_0) &= \theta^D(f_0) := q^{-(3s+3)\deg(D)} \theta_1^D(f_0) + q^{(2s+2)(g-1-\deg(D))} \theta_2^D(f_0) + \\ & q^{-(2s+2)\deg D} \alpha_1(f_0) + q^{-(s+2)\deg D} \alpha_2(f_0). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it easy to check that the operator $(AS)^3 \in G(K)$ satisfies the relation

$$(AS)^3 t = t^{-1} (AS)^3$$

for all $t \in Z(L)(\mathbb{A})$. Since the functional θ and the vector f_0 are invariant with respect to $(AS)^3$ we obtain

$$\theta(tf_0) = \theta((AS)^3 tf_0) = \theta(t^{-1} (AS)^3 f_0) = \theta(t^{-1} f_0)$$

for all $t \in Z(L)(\mathbb{A})$. Hence,

$$\theta^D(f_0) = \theta^{-D}(f_0). \quad (9.9)$$

If the divisor D satisfies $h^0(\omega(-D)) = h^0(\omega(-2D)) = 0$ (e.g. if $\deg D > 2g - 2$) then one has $\theta_1^{-D}(f_0) = \theta_2^{-D}(f_0) = 0$. Therefore, for such D the equation (9.9)

becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{-(3s+3)\deg(D)}\theta_1^D(f_0) + q^{(2s+2)(g-1-\deg(D))}\theta_2^D(f_0) + \\ & q^{-(2s+2)\deg D}\alpha_1(f_0) + q^{-(s+2)\deg D}\alpha_2(f_0) = \\ & q^{(2s+2)\deg D}\alpha_1(f_0) + q^{(s+2)\deg D}\alpha_2(f_0). \end{aligned} \quad (9.10)$$

Clearly, these equations uniquely determine the constants $\alpha_1(f_0)$ and $\alpha_2(f_0)$ (it suffices to consider two such equations with distinct degrees of D).

In practice the computation of $\theta_1^D(f_0)$ and $\theta_2^D(f_0)$ becomes quite complicated as degree of D grows. The following proposition gives a different way of calculating $\alpha_1(f_0)$ based on the fact that α_1 is an eigenvector with respect to the action of the entire torus $T(\mathbb{A})$.

Proposition 9.2.1. *Let a, a' be a pair of ideles such that $|aa'| = 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & (|a| - 1)(|a|^s - 1)(1 - |a|^{-s-1})\alpha_1(f_0) = (\theta_1 + \theta_2)[(\beta_0^\vee(a)^{-1} - \beta_0^\vee(a')^{-1})\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(aa')f_0 \\ & + |a|^{s+2}(\frac{\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a')}{\beta_0^\vee(a')} - \omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a'))f_0 - |a|^{-s-2}(\frac{\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)}{\beta_0^\vee(a)} - \omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a))f_0]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For every idele a let us denote $t_1(a) = \omega_{\beta_0}(a)$, $t_2(a) = \omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)\beta_0^\vee(a)^{-1}$. Note that $s_{\beta_0}(t_1(a)) = t_2(a)$. We consider $f \in R_{\mathbb{A}}$ defined as follows:

$$f = (t_2(a') - |a'|^{s+2})(t_1(a) - |a|^{s+2})f_0.$$

Since α_2 is the eigenvector for the action of t_1 with the eigenvalue $|a|^{s+2}$, we obtain $\alpha_2(f) = 0$. On the other hand, since the operator A represents the action of s_{β_0} , we have

$$Af = (t_1(a') - |a'|^{s+2})(t_2(a) - |a|^{s+2})f_0,$$

hence $\alpha_2(Af) = 0$. Note also that since α_1 is the eigenvector for the action of $T(\mathbb{A})$ with the character $|\omega|^{s+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_1(f) = (|a'|^{s+1} - |a'|^{s+2})(|a|^{2s+2} - |a|^{s+2})\alpha_1(f_0) = (|a| - 1)(|a|^s - 1)\alpha_1(f_0), \\ & \alpha_1(Af) = (|a'|^{2s+2} - |a'|^{s+2})(|a|^{s+1} - |a|^{s+2})\alpha_1(f_0) = (|a| - 1)(|a|^s - 1)|a|^{-s-1}\alpha_1(f_0). \end{aligned}$$

Using the equations $\theta(Af) = \theta(f)$ and $Af_0 = f_0$ we obtain the desired formula for $\alpha_1(f_0)$. $\square$

Now we are going to apply the above methods to the case $X = \mathbb{P}^1$.

Theorem 9.2.2. *For $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(f_0) &= \frac{1}{q^{2s+2}(q^{s+1} - 1)(q^s - 1)}, \\ \alpha_2(f_0) &= -\frac{q^{2s+1} + 1}{q^{3s+2}(q^{s+2} - 1)(q^s - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We are going to consider the equation (9.10) for the divisor D of degree 1. We have $\theta_1^{-D}(f_0) = \theta_2^{-D}(f_0) = 0 = \theta_2^D(f_0) = 0$ and $\theta_1^D(f_0) = (q - 1)$ since in this case $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2D) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. Thus, the equation (9.10) for $\deg(D) = 1$ takes form

$$q^{-3s-3}(q - 1) + q^{-2s-2}\alpha_1(f_0) + q^{-s-2}\alpha_2(f_0) = q^{2s+2}\alpha_1(f_0) + q^{s+2}\alpha_2(f_0). \quad (9.11)$$

On the other hand, we can apply the formula of Proposition 9.2.1 to calculate $\alpha_1(f_0)$. Let us take $a^{-1} = a' = \pi$, where π is a uniformizing parameter at some $\mathbb{F}_q$ -point v . Leaving out zero terms in the right-hand side we can rewrite this formula in our case as follows:

$$(q-1)(q^s-1)(1-q^{-s-1})\alpha_1(f_0) = \theta_2(\beta_0^\vee(\pi)f_0) + q^{s+2}[\theta_2(\frac{\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(\pi)}{\beta_0^\vee(\pi)}f_0) - \theta_1(\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(\pi)f_0)],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_2(\beta_0^\vee(\pi)f_0) &= q^{-3s-3}(q-1), \\ \theta_2(\frac{\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(\pi)}{\beta_0^\vee(\pi)}f_0) &= q^{-3s-3}(q-1), \\ \theta_1(\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(\pi)f_0) &= q^{-3s-3}(q-1).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the formula takes form

$$(q^s-1)(1-q^{-s-1})\alpha_1(f_0) = q^{-3s-3}.$$

It remains to use equation (9.11) to find $\alpha_2(f_0)$. $\square$

9.3. Elliptic curve case. Assume now that X is an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let us calculate the constants $\alpha_1(f_0)$ and $\alpha_2(f_0)$.

First, let us find one quantity that will often arise in the calculation.

Lemma 9.3.1. *The number of points of C over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ is*

$$\text{card } C(\mathbb{F}_q) = (q^{3s+2} - 1)(q^{2s+1} + 1)(q^{s+1} + 1).$$

Proof. The stabilizer subgroup $S_{\gamma_0} \subset L$ of a point $e_{\gamma_0} \in C$ is connected, so the action of $L(\mathbb{F}_q)$ on $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is transitive and we have

$$\text{card } C(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{\text{card } L(\mathbb{F}_q)}{\text{card } S_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{F}_q)}.$$

Using the notation of Lemma 3.1.6 we have

$$\text{card } S_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{\text{card } Q_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{F}_q)}{q-1} = \text{card } P_1(\mathbb{F}_q) = q^l(q-1) \text{card } G_0(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

where $l = \text{card } \Phi_+(G_1) - \text{card } \Phi_+(G_0)$. On the other hand,

$$\text{card } L(\mathbb{F}_q) = (q-1) \text{card } G_1(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

Hence,

$$\text{card } C(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{\text{card } G_1(\mathbb{F}_q)}{q^l \cdot \text{card } G_0(\mathbb{F}_q)}.$$

It remains to use the table in section 1.1 giving G_0 and G_1 and the formulas for the numbers of elements of Chevalley groups over $\mathbb{F}_q$ (see [15], §9). $\square$

Let us consider equation (9.10) in the case $\deg D = 1$. We can assume that D is effective, so $D = p$ for some point p of degree 1 on E . Since $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(p))$ is one-dimensional, we have $\phi(\text{div}(z)) = \phi(p) = q^s + 1$ for every $z \in C(H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(p)))$. Hence,

$$\theta_2^p(f_0) = (q^s + 1) \cdot \text{card } C(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

In the sum determining $\theta_1^p(f_0)$ let us distinguish three cases: (i) $x_0 \neq 0$, $(y, x_0) = 1$, i.e. y is not divisible by x_0 ; (ii) $x_0 \neq 0$, $x_0|y$; (iii) $x_0 = 0$.

In case (i) we have

$$f_0^p(y, x_0, x) = \psi_0(- \sum_{t: y(t)=0} \text{Res}_t \frac{I(x)}{yx_0}).$$

Using the residue theorem we can rewrite this as

$$f_0^p(y, x_0, x) = \psi_0(\text{Res}_{t: x_0(t)=0} \frac{I(x)}{yx_0}) = 1$$

since x vanishes at the unique zero of x_0 , hence $I(x)/yx_0$ is regular at this point. The corresponding part of the sum determining $\theta_1^p(f_0)$ is equal to

$$\text{card}\{(y, x_0, x) : (y, x_0) = 1\} = (q-1)q^{6s+3}(q-1)q$$

(we used the fact that $n = 6s + 3$ and the fact that the number of $y \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2p))$ that are relatively prime to a given non-zero element $x_0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(p))$ is equal to $q(q-1)$).

In case (ii) we have

$$f_0^p(y, x_0, x) = |x_0|^{-s-1} \phi(\text{div}(x_0), \text{div}(x), \text{div}(\frac{I'(x)}{x_0}), \text{div}(\frac{I(x)}{x_0^2})).$$

Note that x is divisible by x_0 , hence, $I'(x)$ is divisible by x_0^2 , $I(x)$ is divisible by x_0^3 . Therefore,

$$f_0^p(y, x_0, x) = |x_0|^{-s-1} \phi(\text{div}(x_0)) = q^{s+1} \phi(p) = q^{s+1}(q^s + 1).$$

The corresponding part of the sum calculating $\theta_1^p(f_0)$ is equal to

$$q^{s+1}(q^s + 1) \cdot \text{card}\{(y, x_0, x) : y \neq 0, x_0 \neq 0, x_0|y\} = q^{s+1}(q^s + 1)q^{6s+3}(q-1)^2.$$

In case (iii) we have

$$f_0^p(y, x_0, x) = |y|^{-s-1} \phi(\text{div}(y), \text{div}(x), \text{div}(\frac{I'(x)}{y}), \text{div}(\frac{I(x)}{y^2})).$$

In order for this expression to be non-zero $I(x)$ should be divisible by y^2 . But $I(x)$ is a section of $\mathcal{O}_X(3p)$ and y^2 is a section of $\mathcal{O}_X(4p)$, hence we should have $I(x) = 0$. Now we can distinguish the following three subcases: (iiia) $I'(x) \neq 0$; (iiib) $I'(x) = 0, x \neq 0$; (iiic) $x = 0$.

Since $I'(x)$ should be divisible by y , in case (iiia) we obtain that $I'(x)$ is a non-zero multiple of y . Equivalently, y belongs to the image of the map $S^2 H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(p)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2p))$, which is one-dimensional. Thus, the corresponding part of the sum for $\theta_1^p(f_0)$ is equal to

$$q^{2s+2}(q-1) \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I(x) = 0, I'(x) \neq 0\} = q^{2s+2}(q-1)[q^{6s+3} - \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I(x) \neq 0\} - \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I'(x) = 0\}].$$

The latter quantity can be calculated using formulas (5.4) and (5.5).

The part of the sum corresponding to case (iiib) is

$$q^{2s+2} \sum_{y \neq 0, x \neq 0: I'(x)=0} \phi(\text{div}(y), \text{div}(x)).$$

Since $\text{div}(x) = p$, we get $\phi(\text{div}(y), \text{div}(x)) = 1$ if $y(p) \neq 0$ and $\phi(\text{div}(y), \text{div}(x)) = q^s + 1$ if $y(p) = 0$. Thus, the above expression is equal to

$$q^{2s+2}[(q^s + 1) \text{card}\{y \neq 0 : y(p) = 0\} + \text{card}\{y \neq 0 : y(p) \neq 0\}] \text{card}\{x \neq 0 : I'(x) = 0\} \\ = q^{2s+2}[(q^s + 1)(q - 1) + q(q - 1)] \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : x \neq 0, I'(x) = 0\}.$$

The latter cardinality is given by the formula (5.5).

Finally, the part of the sum corresponding to case (iiic) is

$$q^{2s+2} \sum_{y \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2p)) \setminus \{0\}} \phi(\text{div}(y)).$$

Using formula (9.7) we can rewrite this as follows

$$q^{2s+2} \sum_{D \geq 0} q^{s \deg D} (q^{h^0(2p-D)} - 1) = q^{2s+2} [(q^2 - 1) + q^s(q - 1)N + q^{2s}(q^2 - 1)],$$

where N is the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points of X .

Combining all the terms of the sum for $\theta_1^p(f_0)$ we obtain

$$\theta_1^p(f_0) = q^{6s+4}(q - 1)^2 + q^{7s+4}(q - 1)^2(q^s + 1) + \\ q^{2s+2}(q - 1)[q^{6s+3} - 1 - \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I(x) \neq 0\} + \\ (q^s + q) \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus 0 : I'(x) = 0\} + q^s N + (q^{2s} + 1)(q + 1)] = \\ q^{2s+2}(q - 1)[q^{4s+2}(q - 1) + q^{5s+2}(q - 1)(q^s + 1) \\ + (q^{2s+1} - 1)(q^{2s+1} + q + 1)(q^{2s} + q^s + 1) + (q^{2s} + 1)(q + 1) + q^s N].$$

Therefore, equation (9.10) in this case becomes

$$(q^{2s+2} - q^{-2s-2})\alpha_1(f_0) + (q^{s+2} - q^{-s-2})\alpha_2(f_0) = \\ q^{-s-1}(q - 1)[q^{4s+2}(q - 1) + q^{5s+2}(q - 1)(q^s + 1) + \\ (q^{2s+1} - 1)(q^{2s+1} + q + 1)(q^{2s} + q^s + 1) + (q^{2s} + 1)(q + 1) + q^s N] + \\ q^{-2s-2}(q^{3s+2} - 1)(q^{2s+1} + 1)(q^{s+1} + 1)(q^s + 1), \quad (9.12)$$

To get the second equation let us pick a divisor D of degree 2 on X and let us compute $\theta_1^D(f_0)$ and $\theta_2^D(f_0)$. One can also try to calculate $\alpha_1(f_0)$ using Proposition 9.2.1 but we are not going to pursue this.

We will need the following auxiliary Lemmas.

Lemma 9.3.2. *Let $\mathbb{P}C \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be the projectivization of C . Then the number of projective lines in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ that are contained in $\mathbb{P}C$ is equal to*

$$\frac{\text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus \{0\} : I'(x) = 0\} \cdot \text{card } C(\mathbb{F}_q)}{(q - 1)(q^2 - 1)} = \\ \frac{(q^{2s+1} - 1)(q^{2s} + q^s + 1)(q^{3s+2} - 1)(q^{2s+1} + 1)(q^{s+1} + 1)}{(q - 1)(q^2 - 1)}.$$

Proof. Since the action of $L(\mathbb{F}_q)$ on $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is transitive we have

$$\text{card}\{p \in L \subset \mathbb{P}C\} = \text{card } \mathbb{P}C(\mathbb{F}_q) \text{card}\{L \subset \mathbb{P}C : e_{\beta_0} \in L\}.$$

On the other hand, clearly,

$$\text{card}\{p \in L \subset \mathbb{P}C\} = \text{card}\{L \subset \mathbb{P}C\}(q + 1).$$

Therefore,

$$\text{card}\{L \subset \mathbb{P}C\} = \text{card}C(\mathbb{F}_q) \text{card}\{L \subset \mathbb{P}C : e_{\beta_0} \in L\}/(q^2 - 1).$$

It remains to notice that directions of lines passing through e_{β_0} that are contained in $\mathbb{P}C$ correspond to directions of vectors $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ such that $I'(x) = 0$. Hence,

$$\text{card}\{L \subset \mathbb{P}C : e_{\beta_0} \in L\} = \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus \{0\} : I'(x) = 0\}/(q - 1),$$

which implies our formula.

Lemma 9.3.3. *One has*

$$\text{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus n} \setminus \{0\} : I'(x) = 0\} = (q^{2s+1} - 1)(q^{2s} + q^s + 1)(q^{3s} + q^{2s+1} - q^s + 1).$$

Proof. Let us denote by $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ the projective variety given by the equations $I'(x) = 0$. Then the cardinality in question is closely related to the number of projective lines that are contained in Z . More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus n} \setminus \{0\} : I'(x) = 0\} = \\ (q + 1) \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus \{0\} : I'(x) = 0\} + (q^2 - 1)(q - 1)q \text{card}\{\text{lines in } Z\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus \{0\} : I'(x) = 0\}$ is given by formula (5.5) it suffices to find the number of lines in Z (defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$).

Let us denote by $\text{Lines}(Z)$ the variety of projective lines in Z . If $G = E_6$ (resp., $G = E_7$) then Z is the variety of 3×3 -matrices of rank 1 (resp. skew-symmetric 6×6 -matrices of rank 2). So in these two cases it is not difficult to compute the number of lines in Z directly. To compute this number in the case $G = E_8$ we will prove that $\text{Lines}(Z) \simeq E_6/Q$ where Q is the maximal parabolic subgroup in E_6 corresponding to the vertex adjacent to the last vertex in the Dynkin diagram (i.e., to the 5-th vertex with respect to the standard numbering of vertices). First we claim that Z is the projectivization of the orbit of the highest weight vector in the 27-dimensional representation of E_6 , so $Z = E_6/P$ where P is the parabolic subgroup corresponding to the last vertex in the Dynkin diagram of E_6 . Indeed, let λ denote the fundamental weight corresponding to this vertex, so that V_λ is the 27-dimensional representation of E_6 . The derivatives of the E_6 -invariant cubic form I on V_λ correspond to the unique (up to scalar) invariant map $S^2(V_\lambda) \rightarrow V_\lambda^*$. Therefore, the quadratic relations defining Z correspond to the second factor in the decomposition $S^2(V_\lambda^*) = V_{2\lambda}^* \oplus V_\lambda$. It is easy to see that the quotient of the symmetric algebra $S^*(V_\lambda^*)$ by these relations is precisely the algebra $\oplus_{n \geq 0} V_{n\lambda}^*$ of functions on the projectivization of the orbit of the highest weight vector in V_λ . Hence, $Z = E_6/P$.

Let W be the Weyl group of E_6 , $W' \subset W$ be the subgroup corresponding to P . One can check that the set of double cosets $W' \backslash W / W'$ has 3 elements. This means that $Z \times Z$ is partitioned in three E_6 -orbits. One of them is the diagonal $\Delta \subset Z \times Z$, another is an open orbit. Now the set of pairs (z_1, z_2) , such that $z_1 \neq z_2$ and the line spanned by z_1 and z_2 is contained in Z , is a proper closed subset of $Z \times Z \setminus \Delta$. Therefore, it is the third E_6 -orbit. This implies that E_6 acts transitively on the set of lines in Z . It remains to find a line contained in Z such that its stabilizer subgroup in E_6 coincides with Q . To this end let us consider the subgroup $S = \text{SL}_2 \subset E_6$ corresponding to the simple root α_6 . Let $v_0 \in V_\lambda$ be the highest weight vector. Then Sv_0 is a plane in V_λ and its projectivization $\mathbb{P}Sv_0$ is a line contained in Z . For every root α let us denote by U_α the corresponding root

subgroup in E_6 . Note that $\mathbb{P}Sv_0$ is the closure of $U_{-\alpha_6}$ -orbit of the line spanned by v_0 . For every positive root α the commutator of U_α and $U_{-\alpha_6}$ lies in the Borel subgroup, hence U_α preserves $\mathbb{P}Sv_0$. On the other hand, if β is a root belonging to the subsystem $A_4 \subset E_6$ spanned by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_4$ then the corresponding root subgroup $U_\beta \subset Q$ commutes with $U_{-\alpha_6}$, hence, it preserves $\mathbb{P}Sv_0$. Finally, the maximal torus and the subgroup S also preserve this line. It follows that the stabilizer of $\mathbb{P}Sv_0$ contains Q . Since Q is a maximal parabolic, this stabilizer is equal to Q .

Thus, we have established that $\text{Lines}(Z) = E_6/Q$. Using the formula for $\text{card } E_6(\mathbb{F}_q)$ we obtain

$$\text{card Lines}(Z)(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{(q^{12} - 1)(q^9 - 1)(q^4 + 1)(q^3 + 1)}{(q - 1)(q^2 - 1)}.$$

□

Lemma 9.3.4. *One has*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus n} : \deg \text{div}(I'(x)) = 2, I(x) = 0\} = \\ & q^{2s+1}(q^{2s+1} - 1)(q^{2s} + q^s + 1)(q^{s+1} - 1)(q^{s+1} + q^s + q^{s-1} + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us distinguish three cases: (a) $\text{div}(I'(x)) = p_1 + p_2$, where $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $p_1 \neq p_2$; (b) $\text{div}(I'(x)) = p$, where $p \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$; (c) $\text{div}(I'(x)) = 2p$, where $p \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We claim that in cases (a) and (b) the condition $I(x) = 0$ is satisfied automatically. Indeed, in these cases we have $\text{div}(x) = 0$ (otherwise $\text{div}(I'(x))$ would contain a double point). Therefore, x determines a projective line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ be the singular locus of the cubic hypersurface $I(x) = 0$ (given by the equations $I'(x) = 0$). Then L passes through Z at two distinct points corresponding to p_1 and p_2 in case (a) (resp., to p and $\text{Frob}_q(p)$ in case (b)). It follows that the restriction of the cubic form I to Z has two double zeroes. Therefore, L is contained in the hypersurface $I(x) = 0$ which implies our claim. In case (a) each x is uniquely determined by $x(p_1)$ and $x(p_2)$, hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus n} : \text{div } I'(x) = p_1 + p_2\} = \\ & \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I'(x) = 0\}^2 - \text{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus n} : I'(x) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, in case (b) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus n} : \text{div } I'(x) = p\} = \\ & \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n : I'(x) = 0\} - \text{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus n} : I'(x) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

In case (c) we can have $\text{div}(x) = 0$ or $\text{div}(x) = p$. In the former case the line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ corresponding to x is tangent to the singular locus Z of the cubic hypersurface $I(x) = 0$. This still implies that L is contained in the hypersurface $I = 0$. Indeed, it is well-known that Z is smooth (see [3]). Therefore, every tangent line to Z is a limit of chords. But we have seen above that all chords of Z are contained in $I = 0$, hence every tangent line to Z is contained in $I = 0$. Since the dimension of Z is $4s$ (see [3]), we obtain that for given $p \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus n} : x(p) \neq 0, I'(x)|_{2p} = 0\} = \\ & q^{4s+1} \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus \{0\} : I'(x) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for every $p \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus n} : \text{div}(I'(x)) = 2p, I(x) = 0\} = \\ & \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I(x) = 0\} + q^{4s+1} \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus \{0\} : I'(x) = 0\} - \\ & \text{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus n} : I'(x) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above contributions from three cases and using Lemma 9.3.3 we get the result. $\square$

For every line bundle M on a curve Y let us denote by $C(M)$ the set of $z \in H^0(Y, M) \otimes V$ that satisfy the equations defining C in V . Using formula (9.7) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_2^D(f_0) &= \sum_{z \in C(\mathcal{O}_X(D))} \phi(\text{div}(z)) = \sum_{D' \geq 0} q^{s \deg D'} \text{card } C(\mathcal{O}_X(D - D')) = \\ & \text{card } C(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) + q^s \text{card } C(\mathbb{F}_q)N + q^{2s} \text{card } C(\mathbb{F}_q)(q + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the fact that the complete linear series $|D|$ defines a morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that D is the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. Since the pull-back of sections defines isomorphisms $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sym}^m H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD))$ for $m \geq 1$, it induced the bijection $C(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) = C(\mathcal{O}_X(D))$. It is clear that

$$C(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) = \text{card}\{\text{lines in } \mathbb{P}C\} \text{card } \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q) + (q + 1) \text{card } C(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

Hence, applying Lemma 9.3.2 we get

$$C(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) = \text{card } C(\mathbb{F}_q) [\text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus \{0\} : I'(x) = 0\}q + q + 1].$$

Substituting this into the above expression for $\theta_2^D(f_0)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_2^D(f_0) &= \text{card } C(\mathbb{F}_q) [\text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus \{0\} : I'(x) = 0\}q + q + 1 + q^s N + q^{2s}(q + 1)] = \\ & (q^{3s+2} - 1)(q^{2s+1} + 1)(q^{s+1} + 1)[q^{4s+2} + q^{3s+2} + q^{2s+2} + q^{2s} - q^{s+1} + 1 + q^s N]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us distinguish four cases in the sum determining $\theta_1^D(f_0)$: (i) $x_0 \neq 0$, $(y, x_0) = 1$; (ii) $x_0 \neq 0$, $(\text{div}(y), \text{div}(x_0)) = p$ for some $\mathbb{F}_q$ -point p of X ; (iii) $x_0 \neq 0$, $x_0|y$; (iv) $x_0 = 0$.

In case (i) we have

$$f_0^D(y, x_0, x) = \psi_0\left(\sum_{t: x_0(t)=0} \text{Res}_t \frac{I(x)}{yx_0}\right).$$

Since $I(x)$ (resp. x_0) is a pull-back of a section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3)$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$), either $I(x)$ is divisible by x_0 or $I(x)$ is relatively prime to x_0 . In the former case $f_0^D(y, x_0, x) = 1$. In the latter case, it is convenient to fix x_0 and x (such that $(I(x), x_0) = 1$) and to calculate the sum of $f_0^D(y, x_0, x)$ over all $y \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2D)) \setminus \{0\}$ such that y is relatively prime to x_0 . We consider separately three subcases: (ia) $\text{div}(x_0) = v_1 + v_2$, where $\deg(v_1) = \deg(v_2) = 1$, $v_1 \neq v_2$; (ib) $\text{div}(x_0) = v$, where $\deg(v) = 2$; (ic) $\text{div}(x_0) = 2v$, where $\deg(v) = 2$. We claim that in case (ia)

$$\sum_{y: (y, x_0)=1} \psi_0\left(\text{Res}_{v_1} \frac{I(x)}{yx_0} + \text{Res}_{v_2} \frac{I(x)}{yx_0}\right) = q^2;$$

in case (ib)

$$\sum_{y:(y,x_0)=1} \psi_0(\text{Res}_v \frac{I(x)}{yx_0}) = -q^2;$$

and in case (ic)

$$\sum_{y:(y,x_0)=1} \psi_0(\text{Res}_v \frac{I(x)}{yx_0}) = 0.$$

Indeed, in case (ia) the values of y at v_1 and v_2 can be arbitrary elements of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ and each pair of values is assumed q^2 times. Similarly, in case (ib) the value of y at v can be arbitrary element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ and each value is assumed q^2 times. In case (ic), let us pick a uniformizing parameter π_v at v , and trivializations of the relevant line bundles such that the residue at v can be computed as coefficient with π_v^{-1} . We can write $I(x)/x_0 = (a_0 + a_1\pi_v + \dots)/\pi_v^2$, $y = b_0 + b_1\pi_v + \dots$, where $a_0, b_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, $a_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then $\text{Res}_v(I(x)/yx_0) = (a_1b_0 - b_1a_0)/b_0^2$. It remains to use the fact that in our sum over y every pair (b_0, b_1) is taken q^2 times and for every fixed $b_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ one has

$$\sum_{b_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi_0(\frac{a_1b_0 - b_1a_0}{b_0^2}) = 0.$$

Thus, the sum corresponding to case (ia) is equal to

$$\sum_{x_0: \text{div}(x_0)=v_1+v_2} [\text{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))^n : x_0|I(x)\} \text{card}\{y : (y, x_0) = 1\} + \text{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))^n : (I(x), x_0) = 1\}q^2]$$

Considering x_0 and coordinates of x as elements of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1))$ we see that

$$\text{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))^n : x_0|I(x)\} = q^{6s+3} \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I(x) = 0\}.$$

Hence, we can rewrite this sum as

$$\text{card}\{x_0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) : \text{div}(x_0) = v_1 + v_2\}q^{6s+5} \times [\text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I(x) = 0\}(q-1)^2 + \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I(x) \neq 0\}].$$

Similarly, the sum corresponding to case (ib) is equal to

$$\text{card}\{x_0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) : \text{div}(x_0) = v\}q^{6s+5} \times [\text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I(x) = 0\}(q^2 - 1) - \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I(x) \neq 0\}]$$

and the sum corresponding to case (ic) is equal to

$$\text{card}\{x_0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) : \text{div}(x_0) = 2v\}q^{6s+6}(q-1) \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I(x) = 0\}.$$

Let us denote by a , b and c the numbers of divisors in the linear series $|D|$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of the form $v_1 + v_2$, w and $2v$ respectively (where $\deg(v_i) = \deg(v) = 1$, $\deg(w) = 2$). Note that $a + b + c = q + 1$ and $2a + c = N$. Combining cases (ia), (ib) and (ic) we get the following contribution from case (i):

$$\begin{aligned} & aq^{6s+5}(q-1)[\text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I(x) = 0\}(q-1)^2 + \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I(x) \neq 0\}] + \\ & bq^{6s+5}(q-1)[\text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I(x) = 0\}(q^2 - 1) - \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I(x) \neq 0\}] + \\ & cq^{6s+6}(q-1)^2 \text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : I(x) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula (5.4) and the relations between a , b and c this expression can be rewritten as follows:

$$q^{9s+6}(q-1)^2[q(q+1)(q^{3s+1} + q^{2s+1} + q^{s+1} - 1) + (1 - q^{s+1} - q^{2s+1})N].$$

In case (ii) applying the residue theorem we can write

$$f_0^D(y, x_0, x) = \psi_0 \left(\sum_{v: |x_0|_v < |y|_v} \text{Res}_v \frac{I(x)}{yx_0} q^{s+1} \phi(p, \text{div}(x), \text{div}(I'(x)) - p, \text{div}(I(x)) - 2p) \right),$$

where p is the greatest common divisor of $\text{div}(x_0)$ and $\text{div}(y)$. In order for this to be non-zero $I'(x)$ should vanish at p . Since $I'(x)$ is a pull-back of a section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$, this implies that $I'(x)$ is divisible by x_0 . We claim that in this case $I(x)$ is divisible by x_0^2 . (in particular, $\text{div}(I(x)) \geq 2p$). Indeed, this follows immediately from the identity $I(I'(x)) = I(x)^2$. It follows that the relevant residues vanish since $\frac{I(x)}{yx_0} = \frac{I(x)}{x_0^2} \frac{x_0}{y}$ is regular at all places v where x_0/y is regular. Note also that we have

$$\phi(p, \text{div}(x), \text{div}(I'(x)) - p, \text{div}(I(x)) - 2p) = \begin{cases} 1, & x(p) \neq 0, I'(x)(p) = 0, \\ q^s + 1, & x(p) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the contribution from case (ii) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{s+1} \left[\sum_{(y, x_0), p \in X(\mathbb{F}_q): (y, x_0) = p} \text{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))^n : x(p) \neq 0, I'(x)(p) = 0\} + \right. \\ & \quad \left. \sum_{(y, x_0), p \in X(\mathbb{F}_q): (y, x_0) = p} \text{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))^n : x(p) = 0\} (q^s + 1) \right] = \\ & q^{s+1} \text{card}\{(y, x_0), p \in X(\mathbb{F}_q) : (y, x_0) = p\} q^{6s+3} [\text{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus \{0\} : I'(x) = 0\} + q^s + 1]. \end{aligned}$$

To compute $\text{card}\{(y, x_0), p \in X(\mathbb{F}_q) : (y, x_0) = p\}$ we note that for every point $p \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ there is a unique divisor $p + p'$ in $|D|$ containing p , hence x_0 is determined up to a scalar. Next, we note that y can be considered as an element of $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(2D - p))$ which does not vanish at p' . Hence, there are $(q-1)$ choices of x_0 and $q^2(q-1)$ choices of y for every given p , so

$$\text{card}\{(y, x_0), p \in X(\mathbb{F}_q) : (y, x_0) = p\} = q^2(q-1)^2 N.$$

Substituting this in the above expression and using formula (5.5) we can rewrite the contribution from case (ii) as follows:

$$q^{9s+6}(q-1)^2(q^{2s+1} + q^{s+1} + q - 1)N.$$

In case (iii) we have

$$f_0^D(y, x_0, x) = q^{2s+2} \phi(\text{div}(x_0), \text{div}(x), \text{div}(I'(x)/x_0), \text{div}(I(x)/x_0^2)).$$

In order for this to be non-zero $I'(x)$ should be divisible by x_0 (which automatically implies that $I(x)$ is divisible by x_0^2). Note that either x is divisible by x_0 or $\text{div}(x)$ is relatively prime to $\text{div}(x_0)$. In the latter case $\phi(\text{div}(x_0), \text{div}(x), \dots) = 1$, while in the former case $\phi(\text{div}(x_0), \text{div}(x), \dots) = \phi(\text{div}(x_0))$. Therefore, the contribution from case (iii) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{2s+2}(q^2 - 1) [\text{card}\{x_0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)), x \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))^n : (x, x_0) = 1, x_0 | I'(x)\} + \\ & q^{6s+3} \sum_{x_0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \setminus \{0\}} \phi(\text{div}(x_0))]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (9.7) we obtain

$$\sum_{x_0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \setminus \{0\}} \phi(\operatorname{div}(x_0)) = \sum_{D' \geq 0} q^{s \deg D'} (q^{h^0(D-D')} - 1) = q^2 - 1 + q^s(q-1)N + q^{2s}(q^2-1).$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)), x \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))^n : (x, x_0) = 1, x_0 | I'(x)\} = \\ (q^2 - 1)q^{6s+3} \operatorname{card}\{x \in F_q^n \setminus \{0\} : I'(x) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using formula (5.5) we can rewrite the contribution from case (iii) as follows:

$$q^{9s+5}(q^2 - 1)(q - 1)[(q + 1)(q^{3s+1} + q^{2s+1} + q^{s+1} - 1) + N].$$

In case (iv) we have

$$f_D^D(y, x_0, x) = q^{4s+4} \phi(\operatorname{div}(y), \operatorname{div}(x), \operatorname{div}(I'(x)/y), \operatorname{div}(I(x)/y^2)).$$

In order for this to be non-zero $I(x)$ should vanish and $I'(x)$ should be divisible by y . We distinguish four subcases: (iva) $I'(x) \neq 0$; (ivb) $I'(x) = 0, \operatorname{div}(x) = 0$; (ivc) $I'(x) = 0, x \neq 0, \operatorname{div}(x) > 0$; (ivd) $x = 0$.

The contribution from case (iva) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} q^{4s+4}(q - 1) \operatorname{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))^n : I(x) = 0, \deg \operatorname{div}(I'(x)) = 4\} = \\ q^{4s+4}(q - 1) \operatorname{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))^n : I(x) = 0, \deg \operatorname{div}(I'(x)) = 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 9.3.4 we can rewrite this contribution as

$$q^{6s+5}(q - 1)(q^{2s+1} - 1)(q^{2s} + q^s + 1)(q^{s+1} - 1)(q^{s+1} + q^s + q^{s-1} + 1).$$

The contribution from case (ivb) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} q^{4s+4}(q^4 - 1) \operatorname{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))^n : I'(x) = 0, \operatorname{div}(x) = 0\} = \\ q^{4s+4}(q^4 - 1)[\operatorname{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))^n \setminus \{0\} : I'(x) = 0\} - \\ (q + 1) \operatorname{card}\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus \{0\} : I'(x) = 0\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 9.3.3 and formula (5.5) we can rewrite this contribution as follows:

$$q^{4s+5}(q^4 - 1)(q^{2s+1} - 1)(q^{2s} + q^s + 1)(q^{2s} - 1)(q^{s-1} + 1).$$

The contribution from case (ivc) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \setminus \{0\}, D' \in |D|} q^{4s+4} \phi(\operatorname{div}(y), D') \times \\ \operatorname{card}\{x \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))^n \setminus \{0\} : I'(x) = 0, \operatorname{div}(x) = D'\} = \\ q^{4s+4} \operatorname{card}\{x \in F_q^n \setminus \{0\} : I'(x) = 0\} \cdot \sum_{y \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \setminus \{0\}, D' \in |D|} \phi(\operatorname{div}(y), D'). \end{aligned}$$

Applying formula (9.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \setminus \{0\}, D' \in |D|} \phi(\operatorname{div}(y), D') = \sum_{E \geq 0} q^{s \deg E} (q^{h^0(2D-E)} - 1) \frac{q^{h^0(D-E)} - 1}{q - 1} = \\ (q^4 - 1)(q + 1) + q^s(q^3 - 1)N + q^{2s}(q^2 - 1)(q + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (5.5) the contribution from case (ivc) is given by

$$q^{4s+4}(q^{2s+1} - 1)(q^{2s} + q^s + 1)[(q^2 - 1)(q + 1)(q^{2s} + q^2 + 1) + q^s(q^3 - 1)N].$$

Finally, the contribution from case (ivd) is equal to

$$q^{4s+4} \sum_{y \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \setminus \{0\}} \phi(\operatorname{div}(y)).$$

Again applying (9.7) we obtain

$$\sum_{y \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \setminus \{0\}} \phi(\operatorname{div}(y)) = \sum_{E \geq 0} q^{s \deg E} (q^{h^0(2D-E)} - 1) =$$

$$q^4 - 1 + q^s(q^3 - 1)N + q^{2s}(q^2 - 1) \operatorname{card} X^{(2)}(\mathbb{F}_q) + q^{3s}(q - 1) \operatorname{card} X^{(3)}(\mathbb{F}_q) + q^{4s}(q^4 - 1),$$

where $X^{(d)}$ denotes d -th symmetric power of X . Using the formula $\operatorname{card} X^{(d)}(\mathbb{F}_q) = N(q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$ we can rewrite the contribution from case (ivd) as follows:

$$q^{4s+4}(q^4 - 1)(q^{4s} + 1) + q^{5s+4}[(q^3 - 1)(q^{2s} + 1) + q^s(q^2 - 1)(q + 1)]N.$$

Combining all the above contributions we can write explicitly the equation (9.10) for the case $\deg D = 2$. Together with the equation (9.12) this gives a system of equations that determines $\alpha_1(f_0)$ and $\alpha_2(f_0)$. Solving this system we get the following answer.

Theorem 9.3.5. *For an elliptic curve $X/\mathbb{F}_q$ one has*

$$\alpha_1(f_0) = 1 + \frac{q^s N}{(q^{s+1} - 1)(q^s - 1)}$$

$$\alpha_2(f_0) = q^{2s+1} + 1 + \frac{q(q^{4s+2} - 1)(q^s + 1)}{q^{s+2} - 1} - \frac{(q^{2s+1} + 1)N}{(q^{s+2} - 1)(q^s - 1)},$$

where N is the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points of X .

Note that in both cases $g = 0$ and $g = 1$ we have

$$\alpha_1(f_0) = q^{(2g-2)(s+1)} L(X, q^s).$$

where $L(X, t) = \frac{\det(1-t\operatorname{Frob}_q, H^1(X))}{(1-t)(1-qt)}$. We conjecture that this formula holds for an arbitrary curve. Using Proposition 9.2.1 we can reformulate our conjecture as the following identity that should hold for every ideles a and a' , such that $|a| = q$, $|a'| = q^{-1}$:

$$(\theta_1 + \theta_2)[(\beta_0^\vee(a))^{-1} - \beta_0^\vee(a')^{-1})\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(aa')f_0 + |a|^{s+2}(\frac{\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a')}{\beta_0^\vee(a')} - \omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a'))f_0 -$$

$$|a|^{-s-2}(\frac{\omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a)}{\beta_0^\vee(a)} - \omega_{\beta_0}^\vee(a))f_0] = (q - 1)q^{(2g-3)(s+1)} \det(1 - q^s \operatorname{Frob}_q, H^1(X)).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [2] A. Braverman, D. Kazhdan, *On the Schwartz space of the basic affine space*, Selecta Math. 5 (1999), 1–28.
- [3] P. Etingof, D. Kazhdan, A. Polishchuk, *When is the Fourier transform of an elementary function elementary?*, Selecta Math. (New Ser.) 8 (2002), 27–66.
- [4] L. Gårding, *Vecteurs analytiques dans les représentations de groupes de Lie*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 88 (1960), 73–93.
- [5] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, D. Soudry, *On the automorphic theta representation for simply laced groups*, Israel J. Math. 100 (1997), 61–116.
- [6] N. Gurevich, *Theta lift for Spin_7* , Ph. D. thesis, 2000.
- [7] D. Kazhdan, *The minimal representation of D_4* , Operator algebras, unitary representations, enveloping algebras, and invarinat theory (Paris, 1989), 125–158.

- [8] D. Kazhdan, B. Pioline, A. Waldron, *Minimal representations, spherical vectors and exceptional theta series*, Comm. Math. Phys. 226 (2002), 1–40.
- [9] D. Kazhdan, G. Savin, *The smallest representation of simply laced groups*, Israel Math. Conf. Proceedings, Piatetski-Shapiro Festschrift 2 (1990), 209–223.
- [10] G. Lusztig, *Some examples of square integrable representations of semisimple p -adic groups*, Trans. AMS 277 (1983), 623–653.
- [11] K. Magaard, G. Savin, *Exceptional Θ -Correspondences I*, Compositio Math. 107 (1997), 89–123.
- [12] M. Sato, *Theory of prehomogeneous vector spaces (algebraic part)—the English translation of Sato’s lecture from Shintani’s note*. Notes by T. Shintani. Translated from the Japanese by M. Muro. Nagoya Math. J. 120 (1990), 1–34.
- [13] G. Savin, *Dual pair $G_{\mathcal{J}} \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$, where $G_{\mathcal{J}}$ is the automorphism group of the Jordan algebra $\mathcal{J}$* , Invent. Math. 118 (1994), 141–160.
- [14] T. A. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups*. 2-nd edition. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.
- [15] R. Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley groups*, Yale University, 1967.
- [16] A. Weil, *Sur certains groupes d’opérateurs unitaires*, Acta Math. 111 (1964), 143–211.
- [17] A. Weil, *Basic number theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1973.